Contents lists available at [SciVerse ScienceDirect](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/disc)

# Discrete Mathematics

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/disc](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/disc)

# On the spectral characterization of some unicyclic graphs

# Xiaogang Liu<sup>[a,](#page-0-0)</sup>\*, Suijie W[a](#page-0-0)ng<sup>a</sup>, Yuanping Zhang<sup>[b](#page-0-2)</sup>, Xuerong Yong<sup>[c](#page-0-3)</sup>

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>a</sup> *Department of Mathematics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China*

<span id="page-0-2"></span><sup>b</sup> *College of Computer and Educational Software, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China*

<span id="page-0-3"></span><sup>c</sup> *Department of Mathematics, University of Puerto Rico at Mayaguez, P.O. Box 9018, PR 00681, USA*

#### a r t i c l e i n f o

*Article history:* Received 12 September 2010 Received in revised form 26 May 2011 Accepted 27 May 2011 Available online 5 July 2011

*Keywords: A*-spectrum *L*-spectrum *Q*-spectrum *A*-cospectral *L*-cospectral *Q*-cospectral

### **1. Introduction**

# a b s t r a c t

Let  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  be a graph with *n* vertices containing a cycle  $C_q$  and two hanging paths  $P_{n_1}$  and  $P_{n_2}$  attached at the same vertex of the cycle. In this paper, we prove that except for the *A*-cospectral graphs *H*(12; 6, 1, 5) and *H*(12; 8, 2, 2), no two non-isomorphic graphs of the form  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  are A-cospectral. It is proved that all graphs  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  are determined by their *L*-spectra. And all graphs  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  are proved to be determined by their Q-spectra, except for graphs  $H(2a+4; a+3, \frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}+1)$  with *a* being a positive even number and *H*(2*b*; *b*,  $\frac{b}{2}$ ,  $\frac{b}{2}$ ) with *b*  $\geq$  4 being an even number. Moreover, the *Q*-cospectral graphs with these two exceptions are given.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Let  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a graph with vertex set  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$  and edge set  $E(G)$ , where  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  are indexed in the non-increasing order of degrees. All graphs considered here are simple and undirected. Let matrix *A*(*G*) be the (0, 1)-adjacency matrix of G and  $d_i = d_i(G) = d_G(v_i)$  the degree of the vertex  $v_i$ . The matrix  $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$  is called the *Laplacian matrix* of *G*, where  $D(G)$  is the  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix with  $\{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n\}$  as diagonal entries. The matrix  $Q(G) = D(G) + A(G)$  is called the *signless Laplacian matrix* of *G*. The polynomials  $P_{A(G)}(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A(G))$ ,  $P_{L(G)}(\mu) = \det(\mu I - L(G))$  and  $P_{O(G)}(\mu) = \det(\mu I - Q(G))$ , where *I* is the identity matrix, are defined as the characteristic polynomials of the graph *G* with respect to the adjacency matrix, the Laplacian matrix and the signless Laplacian matrix, respectively, which can be written as  $P_{A(G)}(\lambda) = \lambda^n + a_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$ ,  $P_{L(G)}(\mu) = l_0 \mu^n + l_1 \mu^{n-1} + \cdots + l_n$  and  $P_{Q(G)}(v) = q_0v^n + q_1v^{n-1} + \cdots + q_n$ . Since matrices  $A(G)$ ,  $L(G)$  and  $Q(G)$  are real and symmetric, their eigenvalues are all real numbers. Assume that  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ ,  $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_n$  and  $\nu_1 \geq \nu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \nu_n$  are respectively the *adjacency eigenvalues*, the *Laplacian eigenvalues* and the *signless Laplacian eigenvalues* of graph *G*. The *A*-*spectrum* (or *L*-*spectrum*, *Q*-*spectrum*) of the graph *G* consists of the adjacency eigenvalues (or Laplacian eigenvalues, signless Laplacian eigenvalues). Two graphs *G* and *H* are said to be *A*-*cospectral* (or *L*-*cospectral*, *Q*-*cospectral*) if they have equal *A*-spectrum (or *L*-spectrum, *Q*-spectrum) [\[1\]](#page-18-0). A graph is said to be determined by the *A*-spectrum (or *L*-spectrum, *Q*-spectrum) if there is no other non-isomorphic graph with the same *A*-spectrum (or *L*-spectrum, *Q*-spectrum).

Characterizing the graphs that are determined by their spectra seems to be a difficult problem in the theory of graph spectra. Up until now, many graphs have been proved to be determined by their spectra. The readers can consult [\[11](#page-18-1)[,16,](#page-18-2)[20,](#page-19-0) [19,](#page-19-1)[23](#page-19-2)[,24,](#page-19-3)[26](#page-19-4)[,25\]](#page-19-5).

<span id="page-0-1"></span>∗ Corresponding author. *E-mail addresses:* [lxg.666@163.com](mailto:lxg.666@163.com) (X. Liu), [wangsj@ust.hk](mailto:wangsj@ust.hk) (S. Wang), [ypzhang@lut.cn](mailto:ypzhang@lut.cn) (Y. Zhang), [xryong@math.uprm.edu](mailto:xryong@math.uprm.edu) (X. Yong).





<sup>0012-365</sup>X/\$ – see front matter © 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. [doi:10.1016/j.disc.2011.05.034](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2011.05.034)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Fig. 2. The *A*-cospectral graphs  $H(8; 4, 2, 2)$  and  $G'$ .

<span id="page-1-1"></span>In this paper, we will characterize the graph  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  (shown in [Fig. 1\)](#page-1-0) by its spectra, which contains a cycle  $C_q$  and two hanging paths  $P_{n_1}$  and  $P_{n_2}$  attached at the same vertex of the cycle. Note that if we append a pendant vertex of a path  $P_k$ to a cycle  $C_q$ , it is just the lollipop graph  $L(q, k)$  [\[2,](#page-18-3)[11\]](#page-18-1). In [\[11\]](#page-18-1), the lollipop graph with *q* odd is proved to be determined by its *A*-spectrum, and all lollipop graphs are proved to be determined by their *L*-spectra. Also the lollipop graphs with an even cycle are proved to be determined by their *A*-spectra (Tayfeh-Rezaie [private communication] did the lollipop graphs with a cycle of length at least 6, and Boulet and Jouve [\[2\]](#page-18-3) did the general case). Whether all graphs *H*(*n*; *q*, *n*1, *n*2) are determined by their *A*-spectra? Unfortunately, the answer is negative. By Godsil–McKay switching [\[10\]](#page-18-4), graph *G* ′ which is *A*-cospectral to graph *H*(8; 4, 2, 2) is found out (see [Fig. 2\)](#page-1-1), and *A*-cospectral graphs *H*(12; 6, 1, 5) and *H*(12; 8, 2, 2) are also found out in [Theorem 3.4.](#page-3-0) In Section [5,](#page-7-0) A-cospectral graphs  $H(n; 2a + 6, a, a + 2)$  and  $\Lambda(a, a, 2a + 2)$  with *a* being a positive even number and *A*-cospectral graphs  $H(n; 2b, b, b)$  and  $\Theta(b-2, 2b-3, b-1)$  with  $b \ge 4$  being a positive even number are found out in [Lemmas 5.8](#page-9-0) and [5.11,](#page-11-0) respectively.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section [2,](#page-1-2) some available lemmas are summarized. In Section [3,](#page-3-1) it is proved that except for the *A*-cospectral graphs *H*(12; 6, 1, 5) and *H*(12; 8, 2, 2), no two non-isomorphic graphs of the form *H*(*n*; *q*, *n*<sub>1</sub>, *n*<sub>2</sub>) are *A*-cospectral. In Section [4,](#page-5-0) *H*(*n*; *q*, *n*<sub>1</sub>, *n*<sub>2</sub>) is proved to be determined by its *L*-spectrum. In Section [5,](#page-7-0) it is proved that all graphs  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  are determined by their Q-spectra, except for graphs  $H(2a+4; a+3, \frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}+1)$ with *a* being a positive even number and  $H(2b; b, \frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2})$  with  $b \ge 4$  being an even number.

#### <span id="page-1-2"></span>**2. Preliminaries**

Some previously established results about the spectrum are summarized in this section. They will play important roles throughout the paper.

**Lemma 2.1** (*[\[5\]](#page-18-5)*)**.** *Let u be a vertex of G, N*(*u*) *be the set of all vertices adjacent to u and C*(*u*) *be the set of all cycles containing u. The characteristic polynomial of G satisfies*

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
P_{A(G)}(\lambda) = \lambda P_{A(G-u)}(\lambda) - \sum_{v \in N(u)} P_{A(G-u-v)}(\lambda) - 2 \sum_{Z \in C(u)} P_{A(G \setminus V(Z))}(\lambda).
$$

<span id="page-1-4"></span>Some results of [\[18,](#page-19-6)[23\]](#page-19-2) are summarized in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let G be a graph. For the adjacency matrix and the Laplacian matrix, the following can be deduced from the spectrum:*

- (i) *The number of vertices.*
- (ii) *The number of edges.*
- (iii) *Whether G is regular.*
- (iv) *Whether G is regular with any fixed girth.*

*For the adjacency matrix, the following follows from the spectrum.*

(v) *The number of closed walk of any length.*

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

**Fig. 3.** Graphs  $H_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, ..., 5$ .

(vi) *Whether G is bipartite.*

*For the Laplacian matrix, the following follows from the spectrum.*

- (vii) *The number of components.*
- (viii) *The number of spanning trees.*

(ix) *The sum of the squares of degrees of vertices.*

**Lemma 2.3** (*[\[6\]](#page-18-6)*). Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges, and  $n_G(C_4)$  is the number of subgraph  $C_4$ . Let  $x_k$  be the number *of vertices of degree k in G. Then we have*

<span id="page-2-7"></span>
$$
\sum_{i} \lambda_i^4 = 8n_G(C_4) + \sum_{k} kx_k + 4 \sum_{k \ge 2} \frac{k(k-1)}{2}x_k.
$$

**Lemma 2.4** (*[\[19\]](#page-19-1)*)**.** *Let G be a graph and NG*(*i*) *the number of closed walks of length i in G, then*

<span id="page-2-6"></span> $N_G(5) = 30n_G(K_3) + 10n_G(C_5) + 10n_G(L(3, 1)),$  $N_G(7) = 126n_G(K_3) + 84n_G(L(3, 1)) + 14n_G(H_1) + 14n_G(L(3, 2)) + 14n_G(L(5, 1)) + 28n_G(H_2)$  $+ 42n_G(H_3) + 28n_G(H_4) + 112n_G(H_5) + 70n_G(C_5) + 14n_G(C_7),$ 

*where L*( $q$ ,  $k$ ) *is the lollipop graph, and graphs H<sub>i</sub> for*  $i = 1, 2, ..., 5$  *<i>are shown in [Fig.](#page-2-0)* 3*.* 

**Lemma 2.5** ([\[18\]](#page-19-6)). Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges and let  $deg(G) = (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$  be its non-increasing degree *sequence. Then the first four coefficients in*  $P_{L(G)}(\mu)$  *are* 

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
l_0 = 1, \t l_1 = -2m, \t l_2 = 2m^2 - m - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2,
$$
  

$$
l_3 = \frac{1}{3} \left( -4m^3 + 6m^2 + 3m \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^3 - 3 \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 + 6n_G(C_3) \right).
$$

**Lemma 2.6** ([\[14,](#page-18-7)[17\]](#page-19-7)). Let G be a graph with  $V(G) \neq \emptyset$  and  $E(G) \neq \emptyset$ . Then

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
d_1 + 1 \leq \mu_1 \leq \max \left\{ \frac{d_i(d_i + m_i) + d_j(d_j + m_j)}{d_i + d_j}, \ v_i v_j \in E(G) \right\},\
$$

where  $m_i$  denotes the average of the degrees of the vertices adjacent to vertex  $v_i$  in G.

<span id="page-2-4"></span>**Lemma 2.7** ([\[15\]](#page-18-8)). Let G be a connected graph with  $n \ge 3$  vertices. Then  $\mu_2 \ge d_2$ .

**Lemma 2.8** ([\[7](#page-18-9)[,21\]](#page-19-8)). Let G be a graph with n vertices, m edges,  $n_G(C_3)$  triangles and deg(G) =  $(d_1, d_2, ..., d_n)$ . Let  $T_k$  =  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i^k$ ,  $(k = 0, 1, 2, ...)$  *be the kth spectral moment for the Q-spectrum. Then* 

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
T_0 = n, \qquad T_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i = 2m, \qquad T_2 = 2m + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2, \qquad T_3 = 6n_G(C_3) + 3\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^3.
$$

<span id="page-2-5"></span>From [Lemma 2.8,](#page-2-1) we can easily get the following result.

**Lemma 2.9.** *If H is a graph Q -cospectral to G, then*

- (i) *G and H have the same number of vertices.*
- (ii) *G and H have the same number of edges.*

(iii) 
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i(G)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i(H)^2.
$$

 $\int \sin\left(\frac{C_3}{t}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d_i(G)^3}{dt} = 6n_H(C_3) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d_i(H)^3}{dt}$ 

### <span id="page-3-1"></span>**3.** *A*-spectral characterization of graphs  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$

First, we will prove that the two graphs in [Fig. 2](#page-1-1) and their complements are *A*-cospectral, respectively.

**Theorem 3.1.** *The graph H*(8; 4, 2, 2) *and the graph G*′ *given in [Fig.](#page-1-1)* 2 *are A-cospectral. The same is true for their complements.*

**Proof.** Consider the four black vertices  $H(8; 4, 2, 2)$  in [Fig. 2.](#page-1-1) For each black vertex v, delete the edges between v and the white neighbors, and insert edges between  $v$  and the other white vertices. It is easily checked that this operation transforms *H*(8; 4, 2, 2) into *G'*. Godsil and McKay (see [\[10\]](#page-18-4), this operation is called Godsil–McKay switching) have shown that this operation leaves the *A*-spectrum of the graph and its complement unchanged.

It is clear that  $H(8; 4, 2, 2)$  and  $G'$  are non-isomorphic. So  $H(8; 4, 2, 2)$  is not determined by its *A*-spectrum. Since also the complements of  $H(8; 4, 2, 2)$  and G' are A-cospectral, it also follows that  $H(8; 4, 2, 2)$  is not determined by the spectra of all its generalized adjacency matrices, where a generalized adjacency matrix (see [\[10\]](#page-18-4)) is just a linear combination of matrices *A*, *I* and *J* (the all-ones matrix).

**Corollary 3.2.** *Graph H*(8; 4, 2, 2) *is not determined by the spectra of all its generalized adjacency matrices.*

In the following, we show that except for the *A*-cospectral graphs *H*(12; 6, 1, 5) and *H*(12; 8, 2, 2), no two nonisomorphic graphs of the form  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  are *A*-cospectral. Indeed, *A*-cospectral graphs  $H(12; 6, 1, 5)$  and  $H(12; 8, 2, 2)$ can be figured out by the proof of [Theorem 3.4](#page-3-0) (see Case 8), and by Maple, they both have the adjacency characteristic  $\text{polynomial: } \lambda^{12} - 12\lambda^{10} + 51\lambda^8 - 96\lambda^6 + 80\lambda^4 - 24\lambda^2.$ 

For the sake of simplicity and with a slight abuse of notation, let  $\Gamma_1 = H(n; t + 1, s, k)$  and we denote  $P_{A(P_n)}(\lambda)$  by  $p_n = P_{A(P_n)}(\lambda)$ . By convention, let  $p_0 = 1$ ,  $p_{-1} = 0$  and  $p_{-2} = -1$ . Using [Lemma 2.1,](#page-1-3) with *u* being the vertex of degree 4 in  $\Gamma_1$ , we can compute the characteristic polynomial of  $\Gamma_1$  in terms of the characteristic polynomials of paths as follows

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
P_{A(\Gamma_1)}(\lambda) = \lambda p_s p_k p_t - p_t p_{s-1} p_k - p_t p_s p_{k-1} - 2p_{t-1} p_s p_k - 2p_s p_k. \tag{3.1}
$$

<span id="page-3-4"></span>The next lemma follows from [\(3.1\)](#page-3-2) and  $p_r(2) = r + 1$ .

**Lemma 3.3.**  $P_{A(F_1)}(2) = -2$ *skt* − 2*sk* − *st* − *kt* − *s* − *k*.

Note that by [Lemma 2.1,](#page-1-3) we have  $p_r = \lambda p_{r-1} - p_{r-2}$ . Solving this recurrence equation, we find that for  $r \ge -2$ ,

<span id="page-3-5"></span><span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
p_r = \frac{x^{2r+2} - 1}{x^{r+2} - x^r},\tag{3.2}
$$

where *x* satisfies  $x^2 - \lambda x + 1 = 0$  with  $\lambda \neq 2$ . Substituting [\(3.2\)](#page-3-3) in [\(3.1\),](#page-3-2) by using Maple, we can obtain

$$
x^{n}(x^{2}-1)^{3}P_{A(\Gamma_{1})}(\lambda)+1-3x^{2}-x^{2n+6}+3x^{2n+4}=f(s,k,t;x),
$$
\n(3.3)

where  $n = s + k + t + 1$  and

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
f(s, k, t; x) = 2x^{t+1} - 2x^{2k+4} - 2x^{2s+4} - 2x^{t+3} - x^{2t+2} - x^{2t+4} - 2x^{t+3+2s} + 2x^{t+5+2s} - 2x^{t+3+2k} + 2x^{t+5+2k} + 2x^{2s+4+2k} + x^{2s+6+2k} + 2x^{2s+4+2t} + 2x^{t+2k+2t} + 2x^{t+5+2k+2k} - 2x^{t+7+2s+2k}.
$$

**Theorem 3.4.** Except for the graphs H(12; 6, 1, 5) and H(12; 8, 2, 2), no two non-isomorphic graphs of the form H(n;  $t+1$ , s, k) *are A-cospectral.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $H(n; t + 1, s, k)$  and  $H(n'; t' + 1, s', k')$  are *A*-cospectral, then they have the same number of vertices, we have

<span id="page-3-8"></span><span id="page-3-7"></span>
$$
t + s + k + 1 = t' + s' + k' + 1 = n = n'.\tag{3.4}
$$

By [Lemma 3.3,](#page-3-4)

$$
2skt + 2sk + st + kt + s + k = 2s'k't' + 2s'k' + s't' + k't' + s' + k',
$$
\n(3.5)

and by [\(3.3\),](#page-3-5)

<span id="page-3-6"></span>
$$
f(s, k, t; x) = f(s', k', t'; x).
$$
\n(3.6)

Without loss of generality, we can assume  $s \leq k$  and  $s' \leq k'$ . Now enumerate the different possibilities for the monomial of smallest degree of  $f(s, k, t; x)$  (the same can be done for  $f(s', k', t'; x)$ ):

<span id="page-3-9"></span>\n- $$
2x^{t+1}
$$
 if  $t + 1 < 2s + 4$ ,
\n- $-2x^{2s+4}$  if  $t + 1 > 2s + 4$  and  $s \neq k$ ,
\n- $-4x^{2s+4}$  if  $t + 1 > 2s + 4$  and  $s = k$ ,
\n- $-2x^{2k+4}$  if  $t + 1 = 2s + 4$  and  $t + 3 > 2k + 4$  (that is  $s = k$ ),
\n- $-2x^{t+3}$  if  $t + 1 = 2s + 4$  and  $t + 3 < 2k + 4$  (that is  $k > s + 1$ ),
\n- $-4x^{t+3}$  if  $t + 1 = 2s + 4$  and  $t + 3 = 2k + 4$  (that is  $k = s + 1$ ).
\n
\n(3.7)

Therefore, by exchanging the roles of  $f(s, k, t; x)$  and  $f(s', k', t'; x)$  and by [\(3.6\),](#page-3-6) it suffices to consider the following cases: *Case* 1.  $2x^{t+1} = 2x^{t'+1}$  (the hypotheses are  $t + 1 < 2s + 4$  and  $t' + 1 < 2s' + 4$ ). Then  $t = t'$ . By [\(3.4\)](#page-3-7) and [\(3.5\),](#page-3-8) we obtain that  $s + k = s' + k'$  and  $sk = s'k'$ , which leads to  $s = k$  and  $s' = k'$  or  $s = k'$  and  $s' = k$ .

*Case 2.*  $-2x^{2s+4} = -2x^{2s'+4}$  (the hypotheses are  $t + 1 > 2s + 4$ ,  $s \neq k$  and  $t' + 1 > 2s' + 4$ ,  $s' \neq k'$ ). Then  $s = s'$ . Let  $k' = k - i$  with i an integer. By [\(3.4\),](#page-3-7)  $s = s'$  implies that  $t' = t + i$ . Suppose  $i \neq 0$ . Expressing  $s'$ ,  $k'$  and  $t'$  by  $s$ ,  $k$ ,  $t$  and  $i$  in [\(3.5\),](#page-3-8) we get  $(2s + 1)(k - t - i) = s + 1$ . This is a contradiction, since  $s + 1 < 2s + 1$ . Then  $i = 0$ , i.e.,  $k = k'$  and  $t = t'$ .

Case 3.  $-2x^{2s+4} = -2x^{2k'+4}$  (the hypotheses are  $t + 1 > 2s + 4$ ,  $s \neq k$  and  $t' + 1 = 2s' + 4$ ,  $s' = k'$ ). Then  $s = k'$ . Using the similar computation as in Case 2, we obtain that  $s' = k$  and  $t = t'$ . This means that  $t + 1 = 2s + 4$ , a contradiction to  $t + 1 > 2s + 4.$ 

Case 4.  $-2x^{2s+4} = -2x^{t'+3}$  (the hypotheses are  $t + 1 > 2s + 4$ ,  $s \neq k$  and  $t' + 1 = 2s' + 4$ ,  $k' > s' + 1$ ). Then  $t' = 2s + 1$ . In this case,  $t' + 1 = 2s' + 4$  and  $t' = 2s + 1$  imply that  $s' = s - 1$ . Then  $s \ge 2$ , since  $s' \ge 1$ . Substitute  $t' = 2s + 1$  and  $s' = s - 1$  into  $f(s', k', t'; x)$ , we transform  $f(s', k', t'; x)$  into

$$
f(s', k', t'; x) = -2x^{2s+4} - 2x^{2k'+4} - 2x^{4s+2} + x^{4s+4} - x^{4s+6} + x^{2s+2+2k'} - x^{2s+4+2k'} + 2x^{2s+6+2k'} + 2x^{6s+4} + 2x^{4s+4+2k'}.
$$
\n(3.8)

Now, the different possibilities for the monomial of second smallest degree of  $f(s', k', t'; x)$  are

- $-2x^{2k'+4}$  if  $2k'+4 < 4s+2$ ,
- $-2x^{4s+2}$  if  $2k' + 4 > 4s + 2$ ,
- $\bullet$   $4x^{4s+2}$  if  $2k' + 4 = 4s + 2$ .

and the different possibilities for the monomial of second smallest degree of *f*(*s*, *k*, *t*; *x*) are

- $\bullet$  − 2*x*<sup>2*k*+4</sup> if 2*k* + 4 < *t* + 1,
- $2x^{t+1}$  if  $2k + 4 > t + 1$ ,
- $-2x^{t+3}$  if  $2k+4 = t+1$ .

Then consider the following subcases:

 $\text{Case 4.1.} - 2x^{2k'+4} = -2x^{2k+4}$  (the hypotheses are  $t+1 > 2k+4 > 2s+4$ , and  $t'+1 = 2s'+4$ ,  $k' > s'+1$ ,  $2k'+4 < 4s+2$ ). Then  $k = k'$ . Using the similar computation as in Case 2, we obtain that  $s = s'$  and  $t = t'$ . This means that  $t + 1 = 2s + 4$ , a contradiction to  $t + 1 > 2s + 4$ .

 $\text{Case 4.2.} - 2x^{2k'+4} = -2x^{t+3}$  (the hypotheses are  $t+1 = 2k+4 > 2s+4$ , and  $t'+1 = 2s'+4$ ,  $k' > s'+1$ ,  $2k'+4 < 4s+2$ ). Then  $t = 2k' + 1 = 2k + 3$  and  $t' = 2s' + 3 = 2s + 1$ , that is  $k' = k + 1$  and  $s = s' + 1$ . Together with [\(3.4\),](#page-3-7) we get  $t = t'$ . Then  $t + 1 = 2s + 2 < 2s + 4$ , a contradiction to  $t + 1 > 2s + 4$ .

 $\text{Case 4.3.} - 2x^{4s+2} = -2x^{2k+4}$  (the hypotheses are  $t + 1 > 2k + 4 > 2s + 4$ , and  $t' + 1 = 2s' + 4$ ,  $k' > s' + 1$ ,  $2k' + 4 > 4s + 2$ ). Then  $k = 2s - 1$  and  $t' = 2s + 1 = 2s' + 3$ , that is  $s = s' + 1$ . Together with [\(3.4\),](#page-3-7) we get  $t = k' + 1$ . Substitute  $s, k = 2s - 1$ ,  $t = k' + 1$ ,  $s' = s - 1$ ,  $k'$  and  $t' = 2s + 1$  into [\(3.5\)](#page-3-8) and by simplifying, we get  $k' = 6s + 8 + \frac{8}{s-1}$ . Since  $k'$  is a positive integer,  $s = 2$ , 3, 5 or 9. Then we get the following four cases:

$$
\begin{cases}\ns = 2, k = 3, t = 29, & s = 3, k = 5, t = 31, \\
s' = 1, k' = 28, t' = 5. & s' = 2, k' = 30, t' = 7.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{cases}\ns = 5, k = 9, t = 41, & s' = 9, k = 17, t = 64, \\
s' = 4, k' = 40, t' = 11. & s' = 8, k' = 63, t' = 19.\n\end{cases}
$$

Substitute them back into  $f(s, k, t; x)$  and  $f(s', k', t'; x)$ , respectively, and by simple computation, we always get  $f(s, k, t; x) \neq f(s', k', t'; x)$ , contradictions.

 $\text{Case 4.4.} - 2x^{4s+2} = -2x^{t+3}$  (the hypotheses are  $t + 1 = 2k + 4 > 2s + 4$ , and  $t' + 1 = 2s' + 4$ ,  $k' > s' + 1$ ,  $2k' + 4 > 4s + 2$ ). Then  $t = 4s - 1 = 2k + 3$  and  $t' = 2s' + 3 = 2s + 1$ , that is  $k = 2s - 2$  and  $s' = s - 1$ . Then by [\(3.4\),](#page-3-7) we get that  $k' = 4s - 3$ . Substitute *s*,  $k = 2s - 2$ ,  $t = 4s - 1$ ,  $s' = s - 1$ ,  $k' = 4s - 3$  and  $t' = 2s + 1$  into [\(3.5\)](#page-3-8) and by simplifying, we get  $s^2 - 3s + 2 = 0$ . Then  $s = 2$ , since  $s > 2$ . So  $s = k = 2$ , a contradiction to  $s < k$ .

Case 5.  $-4x^{2s+4} = -4x^{2s'+4}$  (the hypotheses are  $t + 1 > 2s + 4$ ,  $s = k$  and  $t' + 1 > 2s' + 4$ ,  $s' = k'$ ). Then  $s = s' = k = k'$ . Together with [\(3.4\),](#page-3-7) we obtain that  $t = t'$ .

*Case* 6.  $-4x^{2s+4} = -4x^{t'+3}$  (the hypotheses are  $t + 1 > 2s + 4$ ,  $s = k$  and  $t' + 1 = 2s' + 4$ ,  $k' = s' + 1$ ). Then  $t' = 2s + 1 = 2s' + 3$ , that is  $k = s = s' + 1 = k'$ . Using the similar computation as in Case 2, we obtain that  $s = s'$ , which is a contradiction to  $s = s' + 1$ .

*Case* 7.  $-2x^{2k+4} = -2x^{2k'+4}$  (the hypotheses are  $t + 1 = 2s + 4$ ,  $s = k$  and  $t' + 1 = 2s' + 4$ ,  $s' = k'$ ). Then  $k = k' = s' = s = t = t'.$ 

*Case* 8.  $-2x^{2k+4} = -2x^{t'+3}$  (the hypotheses are  $t + 1 = 2s + 4$ ,  $s = k$  and  $t' + 1 = 2s' + 4$ ,  $k' > s' + 1$ ). Then  $t' = 2k + 1 = 2s' + 3 = 2s + 1$  and  $t = 2s + 3 = 2k + 3$ , that is  $s' = k - 1$ . Then by [\(3.4\),](#page-3-7) we get that  $k' = k + 3$ . Substitute  $s = k$ ,  $t = 2k + 3$ ,  $s' = k - 1$ ,  $t' = 2k + 1$  and  $k' = k + 3$  into [\(3.5\),](#page-3-8) we obtain that  $k^2 - k - 2 = 0$ , that is  $k = 2$ . Then  $s = 2$ ,  $k = 2$ ,  $t = 7$  and  $s' = 1$ ,  $k' = 5$ ,  $t' = 5$ . Substitute them back into  $f(s, k, t; x)$  and  $f(s', k', t'; x)$ , and by simple computation, we get

$$
f(s, k, t; x) = f(s', k', t'; x) = -2x^8 - 2x^{10} + x^{12} - 3x^{14} + 3x^{16} - x^{18} + 2x^{20} + 2x^{22}.
$$

Then graphs *H*(12; 6, 1, 5) and *H*(12; 8, 2, 2) are *A*-cospectral.

Case 9.  $-2x^{t+3} = -2x^{t'+3}$  (the hypotheses are  $t + 1 = 2s + 4$ ,  $k > s + 1$  and  $t' + 1 = 2s' + 4$ ,  $k' > s' + 1$ ). Then  $t = t'$ and  $s = s'$ , together with [\(3.4\),](#page-3-7) we obtain that  $k = k'$ .

Case 10.  $-4x^{t+3} = -4x^{t'+3}$  (the hypotheses are  $t + 1 = 2s + 4$ ,  $k = s + 1$  and  $t' + 1 = 2s' + 4$ ,  $k' = s' + 1$ ). Then  $t = t'$ and  $s = s'$ , together with [\(3.4\),](#page-3-7) we obtain that  $k = k'$ .

Therefore, except for the graphs  $H(12; 6, 1, 5)$  and  $H(12; 8, 2, 2)$ , graphs  $H(n; t + 1, s, k)$  and  $H(n; t' + 1, s', k')$  are isomorphic. This completes the proof of [Theorem 3.4.](#page-3-0)  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.5.** *Graphs H*(12; 6, 1, 5) *and H*(12; 8, 2, 2) *are A-cospectral.*

**Remark 3.1.** In this section, we have shown that graphs *H*(12; 6, 1, 5) and *H*(12; 8, 2, 2), which both are of the form *H*(*n*; *q*, *n*<sub>1</sub>, *n*<sub>2</sub>), are *A*-cospectral. This case is very special. Later, in Section [5,](#page-7-0) *A*-cospectral graphs *H*(*n*; 2*a* + 6, *a*, *a* + 2) and  $A(a, a, 2a + 2)$  with *a* being a positive even number and *A*-cospectral graphs  $H(n; 2b, b, b)$  and  $\Theta(b - 2, 2b - 3, b - 1)$ with  $b > 4$  being a positive even number are found out in [Lemmas 5.8](#page-9-0) and [5.11,](#page-11-0) respectively. Clearly, for the respective *A*-cospectral mates, one is of the form  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$ , the other not. Also the same phenomena occurs in [Fig. 2.](#page-1-1) We consider if there would be more graphs *A*-cospectral with the graph of the form  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$ . So characterizing the graph *H*( $n$ ;  $q$ ,  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$ ) by its *A*-spectrum is more complicated than the lollipop graph.

## <span id="page-5-0"></span>**4.** *L***-spectral characterization of graphs**  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$

From the previous section, we saw that it is very difficult to prove that graph  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  is determined by its *A*-spectrum. But, here we can prove that it is determined by its *L*-spectrum. Before this, we give some useful lemmas.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let G be a connected unicyclic graph with n vertices and its cycle Cq. If G*′ *is L-cospectral to G, then G*′ *must be a connected unicyclic graph with n vertices and one cycle Cq. Moreover,*

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(G)^3 = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(G')^3.
$$

Proof. By [Lemma 2.2,](#page-1-4) G' is a connected graph with *n* vertices and *n* edges. So, G' is a unicyclic graph which contains a q-cycle, where q is the number of spanning tree of G' (given by the Laplacian spectrum, [Lemma 2.2\)](#page-1-4). As a consequence, G and G' have the same number of triangles and we can apply [Lemma 2.5](#page-2-2) and (ix) of [Lemma 2.2,](#page-1-4)  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i(G)^3 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i(G')^3$ .

Here, we use the symbol  $\Phi$  to denote a forest. It is the union of components each of which is a tree. We use the symbol  $p(\Phi)$  to denote the product of the numbers of vertices in the components of  $\Phi$ . In [\[1\]](#page-18-0), the following result can be found.

**Lemma 4.2.** *The coefficients*  $l_i$  *of the polynomial*  $P_{L(G)}(\mu)$  *are given by the formula* 

<span id="page-5-4"></span><span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
(-1)^{i}l_{i} = \sum p(\Phi) \quad (1 \leq i \leq n),
$$

*where the summation is over all sub-forests* Φ *of G which have i edges.*

**Theorem 4.3.** No two non-isomorphic graphs of the form  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  are L-cospectral.

**Proof.** Suppose  $H(n; q', n'_1, n'_2)$  is L-cospectral to  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$ . By [Lemma 4.1,](#page-5-1)  $q' = q$ . Then,  $n_1 + n_2 = n'_1 + n'_2$ . In the following, we use [Lemma 4.2](#page-5-2) to prove that  $H(n; q, n'_1, n'_2)$  and  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  are isomorphic. We consider the coefficients  $l_{n-2}$  and  $l'_{n-2}$  of  $P_{L(H(n;q,n_1,n_2))}(\mu)$  and  $P_{L(H(n;q,n'_1,n'_2))}(\mu)$  respectively.

For *ln*−2, by [Lemma 4.2,](#page-5-2) we get

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
(-1)^{n-2}l_{n-2}=q\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1}(q+n_2+i)(n_1-i)+q\sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1}(q+n_1+i)(n_2-i)+\sum p(\Phi), \qquad (4.1)
$$

where  $\Phi$  is over all sub-forests of  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  with  $n-2$  edges obtained by deleting two edges both from  $C_q$ .

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} (q + n_2 + i)(n_1 - i) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} (q + n_2)n_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1-1} (n_1 - q - n_2)i - \sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} i^2
$$
  
=  $(q + n_2)n_1^2 + (n_1 - q - n_2) \frac{n_1(n_1 - 1)}{2} - \frac{1}{6}n_1(n_1 - 1)(2n_1 - 1)$   
=  $\frac{1}{2} q n_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} n_2 n_1^2 + \frac{1}{6} n_1^3 + \frac{1}{2} n_1 n_2 + \frac{1}{2} q n_1 - \frac{1}{6} n_1.$  (4.2)

Similarly,

<span id="page-6-1"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1} (q+n_1+i)(n_2-i) = \frac{1}{2}qn_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}n_1n_2^2 + \frac{1}{6}n_2^3 + \frac{1}{2}n_1n_2 + \frac{1}{2}qn_2 - \frac{1}{6}n_2.
$$
\n(4.3)

Then substituting  $(4.2)$  and  $(4.3)$  into  $(4.1)$ , we have

$$
(-1)^{n-2}l_{n-2}=q\left((1-q)n_1n_2+\frac{1}{2}q(n_1+n_2)^2+\frac{1}{6}(n_1+n_2)^3+\left(\frac{1}{2}q-\frac{1}{6}\right)(n_1+n_2)\right)+\sum p(\Phi).
$$

Similarly, for  $l'_{n-2}$ , we have

$$
(-1)^{n-2}l'_{n-2}=q\left((1-q)n'_{1}n'_{2}+\frac{1}{2}q(n'_{1}+n'_{2})^{2}+\frac{1}{6}(n'_{1}+n'_{2})^{3}+\left(\frac{1}{2}q-\frac{1}{6}\right)(n'_{1}+n'_{2})\right)+\sum p(\Phi'),
$$

where  $\Phi'$  is over all sub-forests of  $H(n; q, n'_1, n'_2)$  with  $n-2$  edges obtained by deleting two edges both from  $C_q$ .

Since  $n_1 + n_2 = n'_1 + n'_2$ , we have  $\sum p(\Phi) = \sum p(\Phi')$ . Then  $l_{n-2} = l'_{n-2}$  implies that  $n_1 n_2 = n'_1 n'_2$ . Together with  $n_1 + n_2 = n'_1 + n'_2$ , we get that  $n_1 = n'_1$  and  $n_2 = n'_2$  or  $n_1 = n'_2$  and  $n_2 = n'_1$ . Therefore,  $H(n; q', n'_1, n'_2)$  and  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$ are isomorphic.  $\square$ 

In the following, we will prove that graph *H*(*n*; *q*, *n*1, *n*2) is determined by its *L*-spectrum. Before proceeding, we need to recall a few facts from the theory of nonnegative matrices; our basic references are Chapter XIII of Gantmacher [\[9\]](#page-18-10) and [\[3](#page-18-11)[,12\]](#page-18-12). Briefly, a matrix *M* is said to be *nonnegative* if  $M_{ii} \ge 0$  for all *i* and *j*. A matrix is *reducible* if and only if it can be placed into block upper-triangular form by simultaneous row/column permutations. A square matrix that is not reducible is said to be *irreducible*. If *M* is a matrix, denote by |*M*| the matrix obtained by replacing each entry of *M* by its absolute value. Denote by  $\rho(|M|)$  the spectral radius of |M|. If *M* is irreducible and  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of *M*, then  $|\lambda| \leq \rho(|M|)$ , with equality if and only if  $M = e^{i\phi}N|M|N^{-1}$ , where  $|N| = I$ . For an irreducible nonnegative matrix  $M$ ,  $\rho(M) \leq$  the maximum row sum with equality if and only it all row sums are equal.

Now, let  $L_u(H(n; q, n_1, n_2))$  be the principal submatrix of  $L(H(n; q, n_1, n_2))$  formed by deleting the row and column corresponding to the largest degree vertex *u*. Here, *Lu*(*H*(*n*; *q*, *n*1, *n*2))is reducible and contains negative entries. So, consider  $|L_u(H(n; q, n_1, n_2))|$ , which is nonnegative. Although  $|L_u(H(n; q, n_1, n_2))|$  is reducible, it contains three irreducible principal submatrices. And their spectral radii are all strictly less than 4, by using the above statements. Therefore, together with eigenvalue interlacing, we have the following lemma.

<span id="page-6-2"></span>**Lemma 4.4.** *The second largest Laplacian eigenvalue of graph*  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  *is strictly less than 4.* 

**Theorem 4.5.** *Graph H*( $n$ ;  $q$ ,  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$ ) *is determined by its L-spectrum.* 

**Proof.** Let  $G = H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$ . Suppose *G'* is *L*-cospectral to *G*. By [Lemma 4.1,](#page-5-1) *G'* is a connected unicyclic graph with *n*  $\alpha$  vertices,  $n$  edges and cycle  $C_q$ . Suppose that  $G'$  has  $x'_j$  vertices of degree  $j$ , for  $j=1,2,\ldots,A$ , where  $\Delta$  is the largest degree of *G*'. By [Lemma 2.6,](#page-2-3) 5 ≤  $\mu_1(G) = \mu_1(G)$  ≤ 5 +  $\frac{2}{3}$ . Then,  $\Delta = d_1(G')$  ≤ 4. [Lemmas 2.7](#page-2-4) and [4.4](#page-6-2) imply  $d_2(G')$  ≤  $\mu_2(L(G))$  < 4, i.e.,  $d_2(G') \leq 3$ . So, *G'* has at most one vertex of degree greater than 3. Therefore, (i), (ii) and (ix) of [Lemma 2.2](#page-1-4) imply the following equations:

<span id="page-6-3"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n x_1' + x_2' + x_3' + 1 = n, \\
 x_1' + 2x_2' + 3x_3' + \Delta = 2n, \\
 x_1' + 4x_2' + 9x_3' + \Delta^2 = 2 + 4(n - 3) + 4^2.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(4.4)

By solving the Eqs. [\(4.4\),](#page-6-3) we have

<span id="page-6-4"></span>
$$
x'_1 = \frac{5}{2}\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\Delta^2, \qquad x'_2 = n - 3 - 4\Delta + \Delta^2, \qquad x'_3 = \frac{3}{2}\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\Delta^2 + 2. \tag{4.5}
$$



<span id="page-7-5"></span><span id="page-7-4"></span><span id="page-7-2"></span><span id="page-7-1"></span>**Fig. 4.** Graph  $\Gamma_2 = G_{s'+1,t'+1} \bigcup P_{k'}$ .

<span id="page-7-3"></span>[Lemma 4.1](#page-5-1) implies that

$$
\Delta^3 + x_3' \cdot 3^3 + x_2' \cdot 2^3 + x_1' \cdot 1^3 = 4^3 + (n-3) \cdot 2^3 + 2 \cdot 1^3. \tag{4.6}
$$

Substitute  $(4.5)$  into  $(4.6)$ , we have

$$
\Delta^3 + \left(\frac{3}{2}\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\Delta^2 + 2\right) \cdot 3^3 + \left(n - 3 - 4\Delta + \Delta^2\right) \cdot 2^3 + \left(\frac{5}{2}\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\Delta^2\right) \cdot 1^3 = 4^3 + (n - 3) \cdot 2^3 + 2 \cdot 1^3. \tag{4.7}
$$

By simplifying [\(4.7\),](#page-7-2) we get  $(\Delta - 4)(\Delta^2 - 2\Delta + 3) = 0$ . Then  $\Delta = 4$ , since  $\Delta$  is a positive integer. Then by [\(4.5\),](#page-6-4) we have  $x'_3 = 0$ ,  $x'_2 = n - 3$ ,  $x'_1 = 2$ . So, G' is the graph  $H(n; q, n'_1, n'_2)$  (say). By [Theorem 4.3,](#page-5-4)  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  is isomorphic to *H*(*n*; *q*, *n*<sup>'</sup><sub>1</sub>, *n*<sup>'</sup><sub>2</sub>).  $\Box$ 

For a graph, its Laplacian eigenvalues determine the eigenvalues of its complement [\[13\]](#page-18-13), so the complements of all the graphs  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  are determined by their *L*-spectra.

# <span id="page-7-0"></span>**5.**  $Q$ -spectral characterization of graphs  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$

Let  $G_{r',s'}$  be the graph consisting of two cycles  $C_{r'}$  and  $C_{s'}$  with just one vertex in common [\[25\]](#page-19-5).  $G\bigcup H$  stands for the disjoint union of graphs *G* and *H*. Let  $\Gamma_2 = G_{s'+1,t'+1} \bigcup P_{k'}$  with  $n' = s' + t' + k' + 1$  vertices, shown in [Fig. 4.](#page-7-3) Clearly, if  $n' = n$ , then graphs  $\Gamma_1 = H(n; t + 1, s, k)$  and  $\Gamma_2 = G_{s'+1,t'+1} \bigcup P_{k'}$  have the same degree sequence. In the following, we prove that  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  cannot be *A*-cospectral with each other.

First, we calculate the characteristic polynomial of  $\Gamma_2$  by using the analogous method to  $\Gamma_1$ . By [Lemma 2.1,](#page-1-3) with *u* being the vertex of degree 4 in  $\Gamma_2$ , we can compute the characteristic polynomial of  $\Gamma_2$  in terms of the characteristic polynomials of paths as follows

$$
P_{A(\Gamma_2)}(\lambda) = p_{k'}(\lambda p_{s'} p_{t'} - 2p_{s'} p_{t'-1} - 2p_{s'-1} p_{t'} - 2p_{s'} - 2p_{t'}).
$$
\n(5.1)

Substituting [\(3.2\)](#page-3-3) in [\(5.1\),](#page-7-4) by using Maple, we can obtain

$$
x^{n'}(x^2 - 1)^3 P_{A(\Gamma_2)}(\lambda) + 1 - 3x^2 - x^{2n' + 6} + 3x^{2n' + 4} = g(s', t', k'; x),
$$
\n(5.2)

where  $n' = s' + t' + k' + 1$  and

$$
\begin{aligned} g(s',t',k';x) &= 2x^{t'+1} + 2x^{s'+1} + x^{2k'+2} - 2x^{t'+3} - x^{2s'+2} - x^{2+2t'} - x^{4+2t'} - x^{4+2s'} \\ &- 3x^{2k'+4} - 2x^{2k'+3+t'} + 2x^{2k'+5+t'} - 2x^{t'+3+2s'} + 2x^{t'+5+2s'} + 2x^{2k'+5+s'} - 2x^{s'+3+2t'} \\ &+ 2x^{s'+5+2t'} - x^{6+2s'+2t'} - 2x^{2k'+3+s'} + x^{2k'+6+2s'} + x^{2k'+4+2s'} + x^{2k'+4+2t'} + x^{2k'+6+2t'} \\ &+ 3x^{4+2s'+2t'} - 2x^{2k'+7+s'+2t'} + 2x^{2k'+5+s'+2t'} + 2x^{2k'+5+t'+2s'} - 2x^{2k'+7+t'+2s'} . \end{aligned}
$$

The following proposition follows from [\(5.1\)](#page-7-4) and  $p_r(2) = r + 1$ .

**Proposition 5.1.**  $P_{A(F_2)}(2) = -2k's't' - 2k's' - 2k't' - 2s't' - 2(k' + s' + t' + 1).$ 

<span id="page-7-7"></span>**Lemma 5.2.** *There is no graph*  $\Gamma_1 = H(n; t + 1, s, k)$  *being A-cospectral with*  $\Gamma_2 = G_{s'+1,t'+1} \bigcup P_{k'}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\Gamma_1 = H(n; t + 1, s, k)$  and  $\Gamma_2 = G_{s'+1,t'+1} \bigcup P_{k'}$  are *A*-cospectral, then they have the same number of vertices, that is,

$$
t + s + k + 1 = s' + t' + k' + 1 = n = n'.\tag{5.3}
$$

By [\(3.3\)](#page-3-5) and [\(5.2\),](#page-7-5) we get

<span id="page-7-6"></span>
$$
f(s, k, t; x) = g(s', t', k'; x). \tag{5.4}
$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume  $s\leq k$  and  $t'\leq s'.$  Now enumerate the different possibilities for the monomial of smallest degree of  $g(s', t', k'; x)$  are

- $2x^{t'+1}$  if  $t' + 1 < 2k' + 2$  and  $t' \neq s'$ , •  $4x^{t'+1}$  if  $t' + 1 < 2k' + 2$  and  $t' = s'$ ,
- $3x^{t'+1}$  if  $t' + 1 = 2k' + 2$  and  $t' \neq s'$ ,
- $5x^{t'+1}$  if  $t' + 1 = 2k' + 2$  and  $t' = s'$ ,
- $x^{2k'+2}$  if  $t' + 1 > 2k' + 2$ .

And the different possibilities for the monomial of smallest degree of *f*(*s*, *k*, *t*; *x*) are the same as [\(3.7\).](#page-3-9) Therefore, by [\(5.4\),](#page-7-6) we only need to consider the case  $2x^{t+1} = 2x^{t'+1}$ . Then  $t = t'$ . We define

$$
f'(s, k, t; x) = f(s, k, t; x) - 2x^{t+1} + 2x^{t+3} + x^{2t+2} + x^{2t+4}
$$

and

$$
g'(s', t', k'; x) = g(s', t', k'; x) - 2x^{t'+1} + 2x^{t'+3} + x^{2t'+2} + x^{2t'+4}.
$$

For *f* ′ (*s*, *k*, *t*; *x*), the different possibilities for the monomial of smallest degree are

- $\bullet$  − 2*x*<sup>2*s*+4</sup> if *s* < *k*,
- $-4x^{2s+4}$  if  $s = k$ .

For  $g'(s', t', k'; x)$ , the different possibilities for the monomial of smallest degree are

- $2x^{s'+1}$  if  $s' + 1 < 2k' + 2$ ,
- $x^{2k'+2}$  if  $s' + 1 > 2k' + 2$ ,
- $3x^{s'+1}$  if  $s' + 1 = 2k' + 2$ .

Clearly, there is no case such that the coefficients are the same, a contradiction. This completes the proof of [Lemma 5.2.](#page-7-7)  $\Box$ 

For a graph *G*, let  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  be the line graph of *G*, and  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  be the subdivision graph of *G*. Recall that a subdivision graph  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  is a graph obtained from G by replacing each edge of G by a path of length two [\[5\]](#page-18-5). Vertices of  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  are in one-toone correspondence with edges of *G*, and two vertices in  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  are adjacent if and only if the corresponding edges of *G* are adjacent [\[5\]](#page-18-5). Note that the *Q*-spectrum of a graph can be exactly expressed by the *A*-spectrum of its line and subdivision graphs [\[7,](#page-18-9)[4,](#page-18-14)[8\]](#page-18-15), and the following results can be found in [\[7,](#page-18-9)[4](#page-18-14)[,25\]](#page-19-5).

<span id="page-8-4"></span>**Lemma 5.3.** *If two graphs G and H are Q-cospectral, then their line graphs*  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  *and*  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  *are A-cospectral.* 

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Lemma 5.4.** *Let G be a graph with n vertices, and* S(*G*) *its subdivision graph. Then graphs G and H are Q -cospectral if and only if* S(*G*) *and* S(*H*) *are A-cospectral.*

Since the subdivision graph of  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  is  $H(2n; 2q, 2n_1, 2n_2)$ , [Lemma 5.4](#page-8-0) and [Theorem 3.4](#page-3-0) imply the following result.

<span id="page-8-5"></span>**Lemma 5.5.** *No two non-isomorphic graphs of the form*  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  *are Q-cospectral.* 

For the sake of simplicity, let  $G_1 = H(n, c + 6, a, b)$  with *c* being nonnegative even numbers and *a*, *b* positive even numbers. Using [Lemma 2.1,](#page-1-3) with *u* being the vertex of degree 4 in *G*1, we can compute the characteristic polynomial of *G*<sup>1</sup> in terms of the characteristic polynomials of paths as follows

$$
P_{A(G_1)}(\lambda) = \lambda p_a p_b p_{c+5} - p_{c+5} p_{a-1} p_b - p_{c+5} p_a p_{b-1} - 2 p_{c+4} p_a p_b - 2 p_a p_b.
$$
\n
$$
(5.5)
$$

Substituting [\(3.2\)](#page-3-3) in [\(5.5\),](#page-8-1) by using Maple, we can obtain

<span id="page-8-3"></span><span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
x^{n}(x^{2}-1)^{3}P_{A(G_{1})}(\lambda)+1-3x^{2}-x^{2n+6}+3x^{2n+4}=f_{1}(a,b,c;x),
$$
\n(5.6)

where  $n = a + b + c + 6$  and

$$
f_1(a, b, c; x) = 2x^{c+6} - 2x^{2b+4} - 2x^{2a+4} - 2x^{c+8} - x^{2c+12} - x^{2c+14} - 2x^{c+8+2a} + 2x^{c+10+2a} - 2x^{c+8+2b} + 2x^{c+10+2b} + x^{2a+4+2b} + x^{2a+6+2b} + 2x^{2a+14+2c} + 2x^{14+2b+2c} + 2x^{c+10+2a+2b} - 2x^{c+12+2a+2b}.
$$

<span id="page-8-2"></span>The next lemma follows from [\(5.5\)](#page-8-1) and  $p_r(2) = r + 1$ .

**Lemma 5.6.**  $P_{A(G_1)}(2) = -2abc - 12ab - ac - bc - 6a - 6b$ .

Let  $G_2 = \Lambda(a', b', c')$  be the graph shown in [Fig. 5](#page-9-1) with a', b' and c' being positive even numbers. Clearly, it is the subdivision graph of  $G'_2$  with  $d(z, y) = \frac{a'}{2}$  $\frac{a'}{2}$ ,  $d(u, v) = \frac{b'}{2}$  $\frac{b'}{2}$  and  $d(w, x) = \frac{c'}{2}$  $\frac{c}{2}$  (shown in [Fig. 10\)](#page-15-0). Then, by [Lemma 2.1,](#page-1-3) with *u* being the vertex of degree 3 in  $G_2$ , we can compute the characteristic polynomial of  $G_2$  in terms of the characteristic



<span id="page-9-7"></span><span id="page-9-6"></span><span id="page-9-4"></span><span id="page-9-2"></span>**Fig. 5.** Graph  $G_2 = A(a', b', c')$ .

<span id="page-9-1"></span>polynomials of paths recursively as follows

$$
P_{A(G_2)}(\lambda) = \lambda p_{a'}(\lambda p_{b'+2}p_{c'+2} - p_1p_{b'}p_{c'+2} - p_1p_{b'+2}p_{c'}) - p_{a'-1}(\lambda p_{b'+2}p_{c'+2} - p_1p_{b'}p_{c'+2} - p_1p_{b'+2}p_{c'})
$$
  
\n
$$
- p_{a'}(\lambda p_{b'+1}p_{c'+2} - p_{b'}p_{c'+2} - p_1p_{b'+1}p_{c'}) - p_{a'}(\lambda p_{b'+2}p_{c'+1} - p_{b'+2}p_{c'} - p_1p_{b'}p_{c'+1}) - 2p_{a'}p_{b'}p_{c'}\n= \lambda^2 p_{a'}p_{b'+2}p_{c'+2} - \lambda p_1p_{a'}p_{b'}p_{c'+2} - \lambda p_1p_{a'}p_{b'+2}p_{c'} - \lambda p_{a'-1}p_{b'+2}p_{c'+2} + p_1p_{a'-1}p_{b'}p_{c'+2}\n+ p_1p_{a'-1}p_{b'+2}p_{c'} - \lambda p_{a'}p_{b'+1}p_{c'+2} + p_{a'}p_{b'}p_{c'+2} + p_1p_{a'}p_{b'+1}p_{c'} - \lambda p_{a'}p_{b'+2}p_{c'+1}\n+ p_{a'}p_{b'+2}p_{c'} + p_1p_{a'}p_{b'}p_{c'+1} - 2p_{a'}p_{b'}p_{c'}.
$$
\n(5.7)

Substituting [\(3.2\)](#page-3-3) in [\(5.7\),](#page-9-2) by using Maple, we can obtain

$$
x^{n'}(x^2 - 1)^3 P_{A(G_2)}(\lambda) + 1 - 3x^2 - x^{2n'+6} + 3x^{2n'+4} = f_2(a', b', c'; x),
$$
\n(5.8)

where  $n' = a' + b' + c' + 6$  and

$$
f_2(a',b',c';x) = -x^{2a'+4} - x^{2b'+4} - x^{2c'+4} + x^{2a'+6} + x^{2b'+6} + x^{2c'+6} - 2x^{2a'+8} - 2x^{2b'+8} - 2x^{2c'+8} + 2x^{2a'+2b'+10} + 2x^{2a'+2c'+10} + 2x^{2b'+2c'+10} - x^{2a'+2b'+12} - x^{2a'+2c'+12} - x^{2b'+2c'+12} + x^{2a'+2b'+14} + x^{2a'+2c'+14} + x^{2b'+2c'+14}.
$$

<span id="page-9-3"></span>By [\(5.7\)](#page-9-2) and  $p_r(2) = r + 1$ , we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.7.** 
$$
P_{A(G_2)}(2) = -2a'b'c' - 4a'b' - 4a'c' - 4b'c' - 6a' - 6b' - 6c'.
$$

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Lemma 5.8.** Except for the A-cospectral graphs  $H(n; 2a+6, a, a+2)$  and  $\Lambda(a, a, 2a+2)$ , there is no graph  $G_1 = H(n; c+6, a, b)$ *being A-cospectral with*  $G_2 = \Lambda(a', b', c')$ *.* 

**Proof.** Suppose that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are *A*-cospectral, then they have the same number of vertices, that is,

$$
a+b+c+6 = a'+b'+c'+6 = n = n'.
$$
\n(5.9)

By [Lemmas 5.6](#page-8-2) and [5.7,](#page-9-3) we have

<span id="page-9-5"></span>
$$
-2abc - 12ab - ac - bc - 6a - 6b = -2a'b'c' - 4a'b' - 4a'c' - 4b'c' - 6a' - 6b' - 6c'.
$$
\n(5.10)

Then Eqs. [\(5.6\)](#page-8-3) and [\(5.8\)](#page-9-4) imply that

<span id="page-9-8"></span>
$$
f_1(a, b, c; x) = f_2(a', b', c'; x).
$$
\n(5.11)

Without loss of generality, we can assume  $a \leq b$ . Now enumerate the different possibilities for the monomial of smallest degree of  $f_1(a, b, c; x)$ :

\n- \n
$$
2x^{c+6} \text{ if } c + 6 < 2a + 4,
$$
\n
\n- \n
$$
-2x^{2a+4} \text{ if } c + 6 > 2a + 4 \text{ and } a \neq b,
$$
\n
\n- \n
$$
-4x^{2a+4} \text{ if } c + 6 > 2a + 4 \text{ and } a = b,
$$
\n
\n- \n
$$
-2x^{2b+4} \text{ if } c + 6 = 2a + 4 \text{ and } c + 8 > 2b + 4 \text{ (that is } a = b),
$$
\n
\n- \n
$$
-2x^{c+8} \text{ if } c + 6 = 2a + 4 \text{ and } c + 8 < 2b + 4 \text{ (that is } b > a + 1),
$$
\n
\n- \n
$$
-4x^{c+8} \text{ if } c + 6 = 2a + 4 \text{ and } c + 8 = 2b + 4 \text{ (that is } b = a + 1).
$$
\n
\n
\n(5.12)

Similarly, without loss of generality, we can assume  $a' \le b' \le c'$ . Now enumerate the different possibilities for the monomial of smallest degree of  $f_2(a', b', c'; x)$ :

$$
\bullet -x^{2a'+4} \quad \text{if } a' < b',
$$

• 
$$
-2x^{2a'+4}
$$
 if  $a'=b' < c'$ ,

•  $-3x^{2a+4}$  if  $a' = b' = c'$ .

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

<span id="page-10-2"></span>**Fig. 6.** Graph  $G_3 = \Theta(a'', b'', c'')$ .

Then by [\(5.11\),](#page-9-5) it suffices to consider the following cases:

*Case* 1.  $-2x^{2a+4} = -2x^{2a'+4}$  (the hypotheses are  $c + 6 > 2a + 4$ ,  $a \neq b$  and  $a' = b' < c'$ ). Then  $a' = b' = a$ . By [\(5.9\),](#page-9-6) we have  $c' = b + c - a$ . Substitute  $a' = a$  and  $b' = a$  into  $f_2(a', b', c'; x)$ , then

$$
f_2(a',b',c';x) = -2x^{2a+4} - x^{2c'+4} + 2x^{2a+6} + x^{2c'+6} - 4x^{2a+8} - 2x^{2c'+8} + 2x^{4a+10} + 4x^{2a+2c'+10} - x^{4a+12} - 2x^{2a+2c'+12} + x^{4a+14} + 2x^{2a+2c'+14}.
$$

Since  $c' > a$  and  $c'$ , a are both positive even numbers, clearly  $c' > a + 1$ . Thus  $2c' + 4 > 2a + 6$ . Then the second smallest term of  $f_2(a', b', c'; x)$  is  $2x^{2a+6}$ . And the different possibilities for the second smallest term of  $f_1(a, b, c; x)$  are

- $-2x^{2b+4}$  if  $2b+4 < c+6$ , •  $2x^{c+6}$  if  $2b + 4 > c + 6$ , (5.13)
- $-2x^{c+8}$  if  $2b+4=c+6$ .

So [\(5.11\)](#page-9-5) implies that  $2x^{c+6} = 2x^{2a+6}$ , then  $c = 2a$  and  $c' = b + c - a = a + b$ . Substituting a, b,  $c = 2a$ ,  $a' = a$ ,  $b' = a$  and  $c' = a + b$  into [\(5.10\),](#page-9-7) we have  $b = a + 2$ . Clearly,

$$
f_1(a, b, c; x) = f_2(a', b', c'; x)
$$
  
=  $-2x^{2a+4} + 2x^{2a+6} - 4x^{2a+8} - x^{4a+8} + 3x^{4a+10} - 3x^{4a+12} + x^{4a+14} + 4x^{6a+14} - 2x^{6a+16} + 2x^{6a+18}.$ 

Thus, graphs  $H(n; 2a + 6, a, a + 2)$  and  $A(a, a, 2a + 2)$  are *A*-cospectral.

*Case 2.*  $-2x^{2b+4} = -2x^{2a'+4}$  (the hypotheses are  $c + 6 = 2a + 4$ ,  $a = b$  and  $a' = b' < c'$ ). Then  $a = b = a' = b'$  and  $c = 2a - 2$ . By [\(5.9\),](#page-9-6) we have  $c' = c = 2a - 2$ . Substituting a,  $b = a$ ,  $c = 2a - 2$ ,  $a' = a$ ,  $b' = a$  and  $c' = 2a - 2$  into [\(5.10\),](#page-9-7) we have  $a^2 - 3 = 0$ , which is a contradiction to the fact that *a* is a positive even number.

Case 3.  $-2x^{c+8} = -2x^{2a'+4}$  (the hypotheses are  $c + 6 = 2a + 4$ ,  $b > a + 1$  and  $a' = b' < c'$ ). Then  $a' = b' = a + 1$ , which are contradictions to the fact that  $a$ ,  $a'$  and  $b'$  are positive even numbers.

Therefore, except for the *A*-cospectral graphs  $H(n; 2a + 6, a, a + 2)$  and  $\Lambda(a, a, 2a + 2)$ , there is no graph  $G_1 =$ *H*(*n*; *c* + 6, *a*, *b*) being *A*-cospectral with  $G_2 = \Lambda(a', b', c')$ . This completes the proof of [Lemma 5.8.](#page-9-0)  $\Box$ 

[Lemmas 5.4](#page-8-0) and [5.8](#page-9-0) imply the following result, since  $H(4a+8; 2a+6, a, a+2)$  is the subdivision graph of  $H(2a+4; a+2)$ 3,  $\frac{a}{2}$ ,  $\frac{a}{2}$  + 1) and  $G_2 = \Lambda(a, a, 2a + 2)$  is the subdivision graph of  $G'_2$  with  $d(z, y) = \frac{a}{2}$ ,  $d(u, v) = \frac{a}{2}$  and  $d(w, x) = a + 1$ (shown in [Fig. 10\)](#page-15-0).

<span id="page-10-3"></span>**Lemma 5.9.** Except for the graph H(2a  $+$  4; a  $+$  3,  $\frac{a}{2}$ ,  $\frac{a}{2}$   $+$  1) with a being a positive even number, there is no graph of the form *H*(*n*; *q*, *n*<sub>1</sub>, *n*<sub>2</sub>) *being Q*-cospectral with graph G<sup>′</sup><sub>2</sub>. And graph *H*(2*a* + 4; *a* + 3,  $\frac{a}{2}$ ,  $\frac{a}{2}$  + 1) is Q-cospectral with graph G<sup>′</sup><sub>2</sub> with  $d(z, y) = \frac{a}{2}$ ,  $d(u, v) = \frac{a}{2}$  and  $d(w, x) = a + 1$ .

Let  $G_3 = \Theta(a'', b'', c'')$  be the graph shown in [Fig. 6](#page-10-0) with  $b'' \geq 3$  and  $c'' \geq 3$  being odd numbers, and  $a''$  a positive even number. Clearly, it is the subdivision graph of  $G'_4$  with  $q' = \frac{b''+3}{2}$ ,  $d(u, v) = \frac{c''-1}{2}$  and  $d(x, y) = \frac{a''}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  (shown in [Fig. 10\)](#page-15-0). Then, by [Lemma 2.1,](#page-1-3) with *u* being the vertex of degree 3 in  $G_3$ , we can compute the characteristic polynomial of  $G_3$  in terms of the characteristic polynomials of paths recursively as follows

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
P_{A(G_3)}(\lambda) = p_{c''}(\lambda p_{d''}(\lambda p_{b''+4} - 2p_1 p_{b''+2} - 2p_1^2) - p_{a''-1}(\lambda p_{b''+4} - 2p_1 p_{b''+2} - 2p_1^2)
$$
  
\n
$$
- 2p_{a''}(\lambda p_{b''+3} - p_{b''+2} - p_1 p_{b''+1} - 2p_1) - 2p_{a''} p_{b''} - 2p_1 p_{a''})
$$
  
\n
$$
= \lambda^2 p_{a''} p_{b''+4} p_{c''} - 2\lambda p_1 p_{a''} p_{b''+2} p_{c''} - 2\lambda p_1^2 p_{a''} p_{c''} - \lambda p_{a''-1} p_{b''+4} p_{c''}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 2p_1 p_{a''-1} p_{b''+2} p_{c''} + 2p_1^2 p_{a''-1} p_{c''} - 2\lambda p_{a''} p_{b''+3} p_{c''} + 2p_{a''} p_{b''+2} p_{c''}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 2p_1 p_{a''} p_{b''+1} p_{c''} + 2p_1 p_{a''} p_{c''} - 2p_{a''} p_{b''} p_{c''}. \tag{5.14}
$$

Substituting [\(3.2\)](#page-3-3) in [\(5.14\),](#page-10-1) by using Maple, we can obtain

<span id="page-11-2"></span>
$$
x^{n''}(x^2 - 1)^3 P_{A(G_3)}(\lambda) + 1 - 3x^2 - x^{2n'' + 6} + 3x^{2n'' + 4} = f_3(a'', b'', c''; x),
$$
\n(5.15)

where  $n'' = a'' + b'' + c'' + 6$  and

$$
f_3(a'',b'',c''; x) = 2x^{b''+3} - 2x^{b''+7} - 2x^{2b''+8} + x^{2b''+10} - x^{2b''+12} - x^{2a''+4} + x^{2a''+6} - 2x^{2a''+8} + x^{2c''+2}
$$
  
\n
$$
- 3x^{2c''+4} - 2x^{b''+2a''+9} + 2x^{b''+2a''+13} - 2x^{b''+2c''+5} + 2x^{b''+2c''+9} + 3x^{2a''+2b''+14}
$$
  
\n
$$
- x^{2a''+2b''+16} + x^{2a''+2c''+6} - x^{2a''+2c''+8} + 2x^{2a''+2c''+10} + 2x^{2b''+2c''+10}
$$
  
\n
$$
- x^{2b''+2c''+12} + x^{2b''+2c''+14} + 2x^{2a''+b''+2c''+11} - 2x^{2a''+b''+2c''+15}.
$$

<span id="page-11-1"></span>By [\(5.14\)](#page-10-1) and  $p_r(2) = r + 1$ , we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.10.**  $P_{A(G_3)}(2) = -2a''b''c'' - 2a''b'' - 10a''c'' - 4b''c'' - 10a'' - 4b'' - 20c'' - 20$ .

<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Lemma 5.11.** *Except for the A-cospectral graphs H(n; 2<i>b*, *b*, *b)* and  $\Theta$ (*b* − 2, 2*b* − 3, *b* − 1)*, there is no graph*  $G_1 = H(n; c + 1)$ 6, *a*, *b*) *being A-cospectral with*  $G_3 = \Theta(\overline{a''}, \overline{b''}, \overline{c''})$ *.* 

**Proof.** Suppose that *G*<sup>1</sup> and *G*<sup>3</sup> are *A*-cospectral, then they have the same number of vertices, that is,

$$
a + b + c + 6 = a'' + b'' + c'' + 6 = n = n''.
$$
\n
$$
(5.16)
$$

By [Lemmas 5.6](#page-8-2) and [5.10,](#page-11-1) we have

$$
-2abc - 12ab - ac - bc - 6a - 6b = -2a''b''c'' - 2a''b'' - 10a''c'' - 4b''c'' - 10a'' - 4b'' - 20c'' - 20. \tag{5.17}
$$

Then Eqs. [\(5.6\)](#page-8-3) and [\(5.15\)](#page-11-2) imply that

$$
f_1(a, b, c; x) = f_3(a'', b'', c''; x). \tag{5.18}
$$

The different possibilities for the monomial of smallest degree of  $f_1(a, b, c; x)$  are the same as [\(5.12\)](#page-9-8) in [Lemma 5.8.](#page-9-0) Now enumerate the different possibilities for the monomial of smallest degree of  $f_3(a'', b'', c''; x)$ :

\n- \n
$$
2x^{b''+3}
$$
 if  $b'' + 3 < 2a'' + 4$  and  $b'' + 3 < 2c'' + 2$ ,\n  $x^{b''+3}$  if  $b'' + 3 = 2a'' + 4 < 2c'' + 2$ ,\n
\n- \n $3x^{b''+3}$  if  $b'' + 3 = 2c'' + 2 < 2a'' + 4$ ,\n  $-x^{2a''+4}$  if  $2a'' + 4 < b'' + 3$  and  $2a'' + 4 < 2c'' + 2$ ,\n
\n- \n $2x^{b''+3}$  if  $2a'' + 4 = 2c'' + 2 < b'' + 3 < 2c'' + 4$ ,\n  $-2x^{2c''+4}$  if  $2a'' + 4 = 2c'' + 2 < b'' + 3$  and  $2c'' + 4 < b'' + 3$ ,\n  $-2x^{2a''+8}$  if  $2a'' + 4 = 2c'' + 2 < b'' + 3 = 2c'' + 4$ ,\n  $x^{2c''+2}$  if  $2c'' + 2 < b'' + 3$  and  $2c'' + 2 < 2a'' + 4$ ,\n  $2x^{b''+3}$  if  $2a'' + 4 = b'' + 3 = 2c'' + 2$ .\n
\n

Then by [\(5.18\),](#page-11-3) it suffices to consider the following cases:

*Case* 1.  $2x^{c+6} = 2x^{b''+3}$  (the hypotheses are  $c + 6 < 2a + 4$  and  $b'' + 3 < 2a'' + 4$ ,  $b'' + 3 < 2c'' + 2$ ). Then  $b'' = c + 3$ . Now the different possibilities for the monomial of second smallest degree of  $f_1(a, b, c; x)$  are

<span id="page-11-6"></span><span id="page-11-5"></span><span id="page-11-4"></span><span id="page-11-3"></span>(5.19)

- $\bullet$  2*x*<sup>2*a*+4</sup> if 2*a* + 4 < *c* + 8 and *a*  $\neq$  *b*,
- $\bullet$  − 4*x*<sup>2*a*+4</sup> if 2*a* + 4 < *c* + 8 and *a* = *b*,
- $-2x^{c+8}$  if  $2a+4 > c+8$ ,
- $\bullet$  -4x<sup>2*a*+4</sup> if 2*a* +4 = *c* + 8 and *a*  $\neq$  *b*,
- $-6x^{2a+4}$  if  $2a + 4 = c + 8$  and  $a = b$ .

And the different possibilities for the monomial of second smallest degree of  $f_3(a'', b'', c''; x)$  are

\n- \n
$$
-2x^{b''+7}
$$
 if  $b'' + 7 < 2a'' + 4$  and  $b'' + 7 < 2c'' + 2$ ,\n
\n- \n $-3x^{b''+7}$  if  $b'' + 7 = 2a'' + 4 < 2c'' + 2$ ,\n
\n- \n $-x^{b''+7}$  if  $b'' + 7 = 2c'' + 2 < 2a'' + 4$ ,\n
\n- \n $-x^{2a''+4}$  if  $2a'' + 4 < b'' + 7$  and  $2a'' + 4 < 2c'' + 2$ ,\n
\n- \n $-2x^{b''+7}$  if  $2a'' + 4 = 2c'' + 2 < b'' + 7 < 2c'' + 4$ ,\n
\n

•  $-2x^{2c''+4}$  if  $2a'' + 4 = 2c'' + 2 < b'' + 7$  and  $2c'' + 4 < b'' + 7$ , •  $-4x^{2c''+4}$  if  $2a'' + 4 = 2c'' + 2 < b'' + 7 = 2c'' + 4$ , •  $x^{2c''+2}$  if  $2c'' + 2 < b'' + 7$  and  $2c'' + 2 < 2a'' + 4$ , •  $-2x^{b''+7}$  if  $2a'' + 4 = b'' + 7 = 2c'' + 2$ .

Then by [\(5.18\),](#page-11-3) we consider the following subcases:

*Case* 1.1.  $-2x^{2a+4} = -2x^{b''+7}$  (the hypotheses are  $2a + 4 < c + 8$ ,  $a \neq b$  and  $b'' + 7 < 2a'' + 4$ ,  $b'' + 7 < 2c'' + 2$ ). Then  $2a + 4 = b'' + 7 = c + 10 > c + 8$ , a contradiction to  $2a + 4 < c + 8$ .

*Case* 1.2.  $-2x^{2a+4} = -2x^{b''+7}$  (the hypotheses are  $2a+4 < c+8$ ,  $a \neq b$  and  $2a''+4 = 2c''+2 < b''+7 < 2c''+4$ ). Then  $2a + 4 = b'' + 7 = c + 10 > c + 8$ , a contradiction to  $2a + 4 < c + 8$ .

Case 1.3.  $-2x^{2a+4} = -2x^{2c''+4}$  (the hypotheses are  $2a+4 < c+8$ ,  $a \neq b$  and  $2a''+4 = 2c''+2 < b''+7$ ,  $2c''+4 < b''+7$ ). Then  $a = c''$ , which is a contradiction to the fact that *a* is a positive even number and  $c''$  is a positive odd number.

*Case* 1.4.  $-2x^{2a+4} = -2x^{b''+7}$  (the hypotheses are 2*a* + 4 < *c* + 8, *a* ≠ *b* and 2*a*<sup>*''*</sup> + 4 = *b*<sup>*''*</sup> + 7 = 2*c*<sup>*''*</sup> + 2). Then  $2a + 4 = b'' + 7 = c + 10 > c + 8$ , a contradiction to  $2a + 4 < c + 8$ .

*Case* 1.5.  $-4x^{2a+4} = -4x^{2c''+4}$  (the hypotheses are  $2a + 4 < c + 8$ ,  $a = b$  and  $2a'' + 4 = 2c'' + 2 < b'' + 7 = 2c'' + 4$ ). Then  $a = c''$ , which is a contradiction to the fact that  $a$  is a positive even number and  $c''$  is a positive odd number.

*Case* 1.6.  $-2x^{c+8} = -2x^{b''+7}$  (the hypotheses are  $c + 8 < 2a + 4$  and  $b'' + 7 < 2a'' + 4$ ,  $b'' + 7 < 2c'' + 2$ ). Then  $b'' = c + 1$ , which is a contradiction to  $b'' = c + 3$ .

*Case* 1.7.  $-2x^{c+8} = -2x^{b''+7}$  (the hypotheses are  $c + 8 < 2a + 4$  and  $2a'' + 4 = 2c'' + 2 < b'' + 7 < 2c'' + 4$ ). Then  $b'' = c + 1$ , which is a contradiction to  $b'' = c + 3$ .

*Case* 1.8.  $-2x^{c+8} = -2x^{2c''+4}$  (the hypotheses are  $c + 8 < 2a + 4$  and  $2a'' + 4 = 2c'' + 2 < b'' + 7$ ,  $2c'' + 4 < b'' + 7$ ). Then  $c = 2c'' - 4 = 2a'' - 2$ , so  $b'' = c + 3 = 2a'' + 1$ . Since  $b'' + 3$ ,  $2a'' + 4$  and  $b'' + 7$  are positive even numbers,  $b'' + 3 < 2a'' + 4 < b'' + 7$  implies that  $2a'' + 4 = b'' + 5$ , that is  $b'' = 2a'' - 1$ , which is a contradiction to  $b'' = 2a'' + 1$ . *Case* 1.9.  $-2x^{c+8} = -2x^{b''+7}$  (the hypotheses are  $c + 8 < 2a + 4$  and  $2a'' + 4 = b'' + 7 = 2c'' + 2$ ). Then  $b'' = c + 1$ , which

is a contradiction to  $b'' = c + 3$ .

*Case* 1.10.  $-4x^{c+8} = -4x^{2c''+4}$  (the hypotheses are  $2a + 4 = c + 8$ ,  $a \neq b$  and  $2a'' + 4 = 2c'' + 2 < b'' + 7 = 2c'' + 4$ ). Then  $c + 8 = 2c'' + 4 = b'' + 7$  implies that  $b'' = c + 1$ , which is a contradiction to  $b'' = c + 3$ .

*Case* 2.  $2x^{c+6} = 2x^{b''+3}$  (the hypotheses are  $c + 6 < 2a + 4$  and  $2a'' + 4 = 2c'' + 2 < b'' + 3 < 2c'' + 4$ ). Indeed,  $2c'' + 2$ ,  $b'' + 3$  and  $2c'' + 4$  are positive even numbers, there does not exist  $b'' + 3$  such that  $2c'' + 2 < b'' + 3 < 2c'' + 4$ , so the hypotheses are not valid.

*Case* 3.  $2x^{c+6} = 2x^{b''+3}$  (the hypotheses are  $c + 6 < 2a + 4$  and  $2a'' + 4 = b'' + 3 = 2c'' + 2$ ). Then  $b'' = c + 3$ . Now the monomial of second smallest degree of  $f_3(a'', b'', c''; x)$  is  $-2x^{2c''+4}$ , and the different possibilities for the monomial of second smallest degree of  $f_1(a, b, c; x)$  are the same as [\(5.19\).](#page-11-4) Then by [\(5.18\),](#page-11-3) it suffices to consider the following subcases:

*Case* 3.1.  $-2x^{2a+4} = -2x^{2c''+4}$  (the hypotheses are  $2a + 4 < c + 8$  and  $a \neq b$ ). Then  $2a + 4 = 2c'' + 4 = b'' + 5 = c + 8$ , which is a contradiction to  $2a + 4 < c + 8$ .

*Case* 3.2.  $-2x^{c+8} = -2x^{2c''+4}$  (the hypothesis is 2*a* + 4 > *c* + 8). Substituting 2*a*<sup>*''*</sup> = *b''* - 1 and 2*c*<sup>*''*</sup> = *b''* + 1 into  $f_3(a'', b'', c''; x)$ , we have

$$
f_3(a'', b'', c''; x) = 2x^{b''+3} - 2x^{b''+5} - 4x^{b''+7} - x^{2b''+6} - 5x^{2b''+8} + 5x^{2b''+10} + x^{2b''+12} + 4x^{3b''+11} + 2x^{3b''+13} - 2x^{3b''+15}.
$$

Clearly, the monomial of third smallest degree of  $f_3(a'', b'', c''; x)$  is  $-4x^{b''+7}$ . By substituting  $c = b'' - 3$  into  $f_1(a, b, c; x)$ , we have

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\nf_1(a,b,c;x)&=&2x^{b''+3}-2x^{b''+5}-2x^{2b+4}-2x^{2a+4}-x^{2b''+6}-x^{2b''+8}-2x^{b''+5+2a}+2x^{b''+7+2a}-2x^{b''+5+2b}\\&+2x^{b''+7+2b}+x^{2a+4+2b}+x^{2a+6+2b}+2x^{2a+8+2b''}+2x^{8+2b+2b''}+2x^{b''+7+2a+2b}-2x^{b''+9+2a+2b}.\end{array}
$$

Now the different possibilities for the monomial of third smallest degree of  $f_1(a, b, c; x)$  are

- $\bullet$  2*x*<sup>2*a*+4</sup> if 2*a* + 4 < 2*b*<sup>''</sup> + 6 and *a*  $\neq$  *b*,
- $\bullet$  − 4*x*<sup>2*a*+4</sup> if 2*a* + 4 < 2*b*<sup>''</sup> + 6 and *a* = *b*,
- $-x^{2b''+6}$  if  $2a + 4 > 2b'' + 6$ ,
- $\bullet$  3*x*<sup>2*a*+4</sup> if 2*a* + 4 = 2*b*<sup>'</sup> + 6 and *a*  $\neq$  *b*,
- $-5x^{2a+4}$  if  $2a + 4 = 2b'' + 6$  and  $a = b$ .

Then by [\(5.18\),](#page-11-3) we have  $-4x^{b''+7} = -4x^{2a+4}$  (the hypotheses are  $2a+4 < 2b''+6$  and  $a = b$ ). Then  $a = b = \frac{b''+3}{2}$ . Clearly,

$$
f_1(a, b, c; x) = f_3(a'', b'', c''; x)
$$
  
=  $2x^{b''+3} - 2x^{b''+5} - 4x^{b''+7} - x^{2b''+6} - 5x^{2b''+8} + 5x^{2b''+10}$   
+  $x^{2b''+12} + 4x^{3b''+11} + 2x^{3b''+13} - 2x^{3b''+15}$ .

Now we have  $a = b$ ,  $b'' = 2b-3$ ,  $c = 2b-6$ ,  $a'' = b-2$  and  $c'' = b-1$ . Thus, graphs  $H(n; 2b, b, b)$  and  $\Theta(b-2, 2b-3, b-1)$ are *A*-cospectral, where  $b \geq 4$  is a positive even number.

Case 4.  $-2x^{2a+4} = -2x^{2c''+4}$  (the hypotheses are  $c + 6 > 2a + 4$ ,  $a \neq b$  and  $2a'' + 4 = 2c'' + 2 < b'' + 3$ ,  $2c'' + 4 < b'' + 3$ ). Then  $c'' = a$ , which is a contradiction to the fact that  $c''$  is a positive odd number and  $a$  is a nonnegative even number.

*Case* 5.  $-2x^{2a+4} = -2x^{2a''+8}$  (the hypotheses are  $c + 6 > 2a + 4$ ,  $a \neq b$  and  $2a'' + 4 = 2c'' + 2 < b'' + 3 = 2c'' + 4$ ). Then  $a'' = a - 2$ ,  $b'' = 2a - 1$  and  $c'' = a - 1$ . Substituting  $a'' = a - 2$ ,  $b'' = 2a - 1$  and  $c'' = a - 1$  into  $f_3(a'', b'', c''; x)$ , we get  $f_3(a'', b'', c''; x) = -2x^{2a+4} - 2x^{2a+6} + x^{4a} - 3x^{4a+2} + 3x^{4a+8} - x^{4a+10} + 2x^{6a+4} + 2x^{6a+6}.$ 

Now the monomial of second smallest degree of  $f_3(a'',b'',c''; x)$  is  $-2x^{2a+6}$ . The different possibilities for the monomial of second smallest degree of  $f_1(a, b, c; x)$  is the same as [\(5.13\)](#page-10-2) in [Lemma 5.8.](#page-9-0) Then by [\(5.18\),](#page-11-3) consider the following subcases: *Case* 5.1.  $-2x^{2a+6} = -2x^{2b+4}$  (the hypothesis is  $2b+4 < c+6$ ). Then  $b = a+1$ , which is a contradiction to the fact that *a* and *b* are positive even numbers.

*Case* 5.2.  $-2x^{2a+6} = -2x^{c+8}$  (the hypothesis is  $2b + 4 = c + 6$ ). Then  $a = b$ , a contradiction to  $a \neq b$ .

*Case* 6.  $-2x^{2b+4} = -2x^{2c''+4}$  (the hypotheses are  $c + 6 = 2a + 4$ ,  $a = b$  and  $2a'' + 4 = 2c'' + 2 < b'' + 3$ ,  $2c'' + 4 < b'' + 3$ ). Then  $c'' = b$ , which is a contradiction to the fact that  $c''$  is a positive odd number and *b* is a positive even number.

*Case* 7.  $-2x^{2b+4} = -2x^{2a''+8}$  (the hypotheses are  $c + 6 = 2a + 4$ ,  $a = b$  and  $2a'' + 4 = 2c'' + 2 < b'' + 3 = 2c'' + 4$ ). Then we have  $b = a$ ,  $c = 2a - 2$ ,  $a'' = a - 2$ ,  $c'' = a - 1$ ,  $b'' = 2a - 1$ . But  $a + b + c = 4a - 2 \ne a'' + b'' + c'' = 4a - 4$ , a contradiction to [\(5.16\).](#page-11-5)

 $Case 8. -2x^{c+8} = -2x^{2c''+4}$  (the hypotheses are  $c + 6 = 2a + 4$ ,  $b > a + 1$  and  $2a'' + 4 = 2c'' + 2 < b'' + 3$ ,  $2c'' + 4 < b'' + 3$ ). Then we have  $c = 2a - 2$ ,  $c'' = a + 1$  and  $a'' = a$ . By [\(5.16\),](#page-11-5) we have  $b'' = a + b - 3$ . Substituting  $c = 2a - 2$ ,  $c'' = a + 1$ ,  $a'' = a$  and  $b'' = a + b - 3$  into [\(5.17\),](#page-11-6) we have  $b = a + 4 + \frac{8}{a-1}$ . Then  $a = 2$  and  $b = 14$ , since *a* and *b* are both positive even numbers. So we have  $a = 2$ ,  $b = 14$ ,  $c = 2$  and  $a'' = 2$ ,  $b'' = 13$ ,  $c'' = 3$ . Substitute them back into  $f_1(a, b, c; x)$  and  $f_3(a'',b'',c''; x)$  respectively, and by simple computation, we get  $f_1(a,b,c;x) \neq f_3(a'',b'',c''; x)$ , a contradiction.

*Case* 9.  $-2x^{c+8} = -2x^{2a''+8}$  (the hypotheses are  $c + 6 = 2a + 4$ ,  $b > a + 1$  and  $2a'' + 4 = 2c'' + 2 < b'' + 3 = 2c'' + 4$ ). Then 2*a* + 4 = *c* + 6 = 2*a*<sup> $\prime\prime$ </sup> + 6 = 2*c*<sup> $\prime\prime$ </sup> + 4 implies that *a* = *c*<sup> $\prime\prime$ </sup>, which is a contradiction to the fact that *c*<sup> $\prime\prime$ </sup> is a positive odd number and *a* is a positive even number.

Therefore, except for the *A*-cospectral graphs  $H(n; 2b, b, b)$  and  $\Theta(b-2, 2b-3, b-1)$  with  $b > 4$  being a positive even number, there is no graph  $G_1 = H(n; c + 6, a, b)$  being *A*-cospectral with  $G_3 = \Theta(a'', b'', c'')$ . This completes the proof of [Lemma 5.11.](#page-11-0)  $\Box$ 

[Lemmas 5.4](#page-8-0) and [5.11](#page-11-0) imply the following result, since  $H(4b; 2b, b, b)$  is the subdivision graph of  $H(2b; b, \frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2})$  and  $G_3 = \Theta(b-2, 2b-3, b-1)$  is the subdivision graph of  $G'_4$  with  $q' = b$ ,  $d(u, v) = \frac{b}{2} - 1$  and  $d(x, y) = \frac{b}{2} - 1$  (shown in [Fig. 10\)](#page-15-0).

<span id="page-13-1"></span>**Lemma 5.12.** *Except for the graph H*(2*b*; *b*,  $\frac{b}{2}$ ,  $\frac{b}{2}$ ) with *b*  $\geq$  4 *being a positive even number, there is no graph of the form*  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  being Q-cospectral with graph  $G'_4$ . The graph  $H(2b; b, \frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2})$  is Q-cospectral with graph  $G'_4$  with  $q' = b$ ,  $d(u, v) = \frac{b}{2} - 1$  *and*  $d(x, y) = \frac{b}{2} - 1$ *.* 

In the following, we will prove that graphs  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$ , except for graphs  $H(2a + 4; a + 3, \frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2} + 1)$  with *a* being a positive even number and  $H(2b; b, \frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2})$  with  $b \ge 4$  being a positive even number, are determined by their *Q*-spectra. Before this, we give some useful lemmas.

**Lemma 5.13.** *Let*  $G = H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$ *. Then*  $\lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(G)) < 2$ *.* 

**Proof.** Let *u* be the vertex of degree 4 in *G*. By the interlacing theorem for the *A*-spectrum, we obtain that

<span id="page-13-2"></span><span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
\lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(G)) \leq \lambda_1(\mathcal{S}(G) - u) = \lambda_1\left(P_{2q-1} \bigcup P_{2n_1} \bigcup P_{2n_2}\right) < 2,
$$

since the largest eigenvalue for the *A*-spectrum of a path is less than 2.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 5.14.** Let  $G = H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$ . If a graph H with deg( $H$ ) =  $(4, 2^{n-3}, 1^2)$  is Q-cospectral to G, then H does not contain *cycles as its components.*



<span id="page-14-3"></span><span id="page-14-2"></span>**Fig. 7.** Smith graphs  $W_k$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  and  $S_3$ .

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Proof.**  $\mathcal{S}(H)$  is *A*-cospectral to  $\mathcal{S}(G)$ , since *H* is *Q*-cospectral to *G*. By [Lemma 5.13,](#page-13-0)  $\lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(H)) = \lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(G)) < 2$ . By contradiction, we assume that  $H = H' \bigcup C_k$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}(H) = \mathcal{S}(H') \bigcup C_{2k}$ . Note that  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{S}(H)) = \lambda_1(\mathcal{S}(G)) > 2$ , since  $C_{2q}$  is a proper subgraph of  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  and  $\lambda_1(C_{2q}) = 2$ . Then  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{S}(H)) = \lambda_1(\mathcal{S}(H')) > 2$  and  $\lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(H)) = \max{\lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(H'))}$ ,  $\lambda_1(C_{2q}) \geq 2$ , a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

Recall that a connected graph which satisfies  $\lambda_1 = 2$  is called a Smith graph (see [\[22\]](#page-19-9)). These graphs are a cycle  $C_n$  $(n = 3, 4, \ldots)$ , and the graphs depicted in [Fig. 7](#page-14-0) (*k* denotes the length of the corresponding path in  $W_k$ , for  $k = 0$  the graph reduces to  $W_0 = K_{1,4}$ ).

<span id="page-14-4"></span>**Lemma 5.15.** Let  $G = H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$ . If a graph H is Q-cospectral to G, then H does not contain an induced subgraph isomorphic *to the disjoint union of two cycles.*

**Proof.**  $\mathcal{S}(H)$  is *A*-cospectral to  $\mathcal{S}(G)$ , since *H* is *Q*-cospectral to *G*. By [Lemma 5.13,](#page-13-0)  $\lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(H)) = \lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(G)) < 2$ . It implies that S(*H*) has no induced subgraph isomorphic to the disjoint union of two cycles, since the largest eigenvalue for the *A*-spectrum of a cycle is 2. Therefore, graph *H* has no induced subgraph isomorphic to the disjoint union of two cycles.

<span id="page-14-5"></span>Similarly, we get the following lemma, since the subdivision graph of  $K_{1,3}$  is  $S_1$ .

**Lemma 5.16.** Let  $G = H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$ . If a graph H is Q-cospectral to G, then H does not contain an induced subgraph isomorphic *to the disjoint union of a cycle and K*1,3*.*

<span id="page-14-6"></span>**Theorem 5.17.** Let  $G = H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$ . Then graph G is determined by its Q-spectrum, except for graphs  $H(2a+4; a+3, \frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}+a)$ 1) with a being a positive even number and H(2b; b,  $\frac{b}{2}$ ,  $\frac{b}{2}$ ) with b  $\geq 4$  being an even number.

**Proof.** Suppose that graphs  $G'$  and  $G$  are Q-cospectral. Then [Lemma 2.9](#page-2-5) implies that  $G'$  has  $n$  vertices,  $n$  edges and  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2$ ∑ **oof.** Suppose that graphs G' and G are Q-cospectral. Then Lemma 2.9 implies that G' has n vertices, n edges and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2 =$ <br> $d_i^2$ , where  $d_i$ ,  $d_i'$  are degrees of vertices  $v_i$ ,  $v_i'$  in  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  and G', r degree *j*, for *j* = 0, 1, . . . . ,  $\Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the maximum degree of *G'*. Then

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{\Delta} x'_j = n,\tag{5.20}
$$

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{A} jx'_j = 2n,\tag{5.21}
$$

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{A} j^2 x'_j = 16 + 4(n-3) + 2. \tag{5.22}
$$

Therefore

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{A} (j^2 - 3j + 2)x'_j = 6,\tag{5.23}
$$

i.e.,

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
2x'_0 + 2x'_3 + 6x'_4 + 12x'_5 + \sum_{j=6}^{A} (j^2 - 3j + 2)x'_j = 6.
$$
\n(5.24)

Eq. [\(5.24\)](#page-14-1) implies that

*Case* 1.  $x'_0 = 3$ ,  $x'_3 = x'_4 = \cdots = x'_\Delta = 0$ . By [\(5.20\)](#page-14-2) and [\(5.21\),](#page-14-3) we have  $x'_1 = -6 < 0$ ,  $x'_2 = n + 3 > n$ , a contradiction. *Case* 2.  $x'_0 = 2$ ,  $x'_3 = 1$ ,  $x'_4 = \cdots = x'_\Delta = 0$ . By [\(5.20\)](#page-14-2) and [\(5.21\),](#page-14-3) we have  $x'_1 = -3 < 0$ ,  $x'_2 = n$ , a contradiction. *Case* 3.  $x'_0 = 1$ ,  $x'_3 = 2$ ,  $x'_4 = \cdots = x'_4 = 0$ . By [\(5.20\)](#page-14-2) and [\(5.21\),](#page-14-3) we have  $x'_1 = 0$ ,  $x'_2 = n - 3$ . By (iv) of [Lemma 2.9,](#page-2-5) we have

$$
6n_G(C_3) + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(G)^3 = 6n_{G'}(C_3) + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i(G')^3.
$$

Then  $n_{G'}(C_3) = n_G(C_3) + 2$ .



**Fig. 8.** Graphs *H*(5; 3, 1, 1) and *G* ′ .

<span id="page-15-2"></span><span id="page-15-1"></span>

**Fig. 9.** Graphs  $G = H(n; 3, n_1, n_2)$  and  $G'$ .

<span id="page-15-0"></span>

**Fig. 10.** Graphs  $G'_1$ ,  $G'_2$ ,  $G'_3$  and  $G'_4$ .

*Case* 3.1.  $n_G(C_3) = 1$ . Then  $n_{G'}(C_3) = 3$ . Together with deg(*G'*) = (3<sup>2</sup>, 2<sup>*n*-3</sup>, 0<sup>1</sup>), there always exists an induced subgraph isomorphic to the disjoint union of two cycles, a contradiction to [Lemma 5.15.](#page-14-4)

*Case* 3.2.  $n_G(C_3) = 0$ . Then  $n_G(C_3) = 2$ . By [Lemmas 5.15](#page-14-4) and [5.16,](#page-14-5) the only possible case of *G'* is shown in [Fig. 8.](#page-15-1) But it needs *G* to be *H*(5; 3, 1, 1) (shown in [Fig. 8\)](#page-15-1), a contradiction to  $n_G(C_3) = 0$ .

*Case* 4.  $x'_0 = 0$ ,  $x'_3 = 3$ ,  $x'_4 = \cdots = x'_4 = 0$ . By [\(5.20\)](#page-14-2) and [\(5.21\),](#page-14-3) we have  $x'_1 = 3$ ,  $x'_2 = n - 6$ . By (iv) of [Lemma 2.9,](#page-2-5) we have

$$
6n_G(C_3)+\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(G)^3=6n_{G'}(C_3)+\sum_{i=1}^n d_i(G')^3.
$$

Then  $n_{G'}(C_3) = n_G(C_3) + 1$ . Together with deg( $G'$ ) = (3<sup>3</sup>, 2<sup>n−6</sup>, 1<sup>3</sup>), consider the following subcases.

*Case* 4.1.  $n_G(C_3) = 1$ . Then  $n_G(C_3) = 2$ . By [Lemmas 5.15](#page-14-4) and [5.16,](#page-14-5) the only case of *G'* is shown in [Fig. 9.](#page-15-2) Note that for the *Q*-spectrum the multiplicity of 0 gives the number of bipartite components [\[7\]](#page-18-9). Then there is an eigenvalue 0 in the *Q*-spectrum of *G* ′ , but there is no eigenvalue 0 in the *Q*-spectrum of *G*. Clearly, *G* and *G* ′ are not *Q*-cospectral, a contradiction.

*Case* 4.2.  $n_G(C_3) = 0$ , i.e,  $G = H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  with  $q \ge 4$ . Then  $n_G(C_3) = 1$ . By [Lemmas 5.15](#page-14-4) and [5.16,](#page-14-5) the possible cases of *G*' are shown in [Fig. 10.](#page-15-0) Let  $d(w, y)$  be the distance (the length of a shortest path) between two vertices w and *y*. Consider the following subcases.

*Case* 4.2.1. For graph  $G'_1$ , if  $d(w, y) \geq 2$ , then there always exists an induced subgraph isomorphic to the disjoint union of a cycle  $C_6$  and Smith graph  $S_1$  in  $\mathcal{S}(G_1)$ , a contradiction to  $\lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(G)) = \lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(G_1')) < 2$ .

If  $d(w, y) = 1$ ,  $d(y, z) \ge 3$  and  $d(u, v) \ge 2$ , or  $d(w, y) = 1$ ,  $d(y, x) \ge 3$  and  $d(u, v) \ge 2$ , then there always exists an induced subgraph isomorphic to the disjoint union of Smith graphs  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  in  $\mathcal{S}(G_1')$ , a contradiction to  $\lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(G))$  =  $\lambda_2({\cal S}(G'_1))$  < 2.

<span id="page-16-0"></span>

**Fig. 11.** Graphs  $G'_{1,1}$  and  $G'_{1,2}$ .

If  $d(w, y) = 1$ ,  $d(y, x) \ge 2$ ,  $d(y, z) \ge 2$ , and  $d(u, v) \ge 2$ , then there always exists an induced subgraph isomorphic to the disjoint union of two Smith graphs  $S_2$  in  $\mathcal{S}(G_1')$ , a contradiction to  $\lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(G)) = \lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(G_1')) < 2$ . Then the two possible forms of  $G_1'$  are shown in [Fig. 11.](#page-16-0)

*Case* 4.2.1.1. For graph  $G'_{1,1}$ , [Lemma 5.3](#page-8-4) implies that line graphs  $L(G)$  and  $L(G'_{1,1})$  are *A*-cospectral. In the following, we use [Lemma 2.4](#page-2-6) to compute the number of closed walks of length 5 in  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(G'_{1,1})$ , respectively. For graph  $\mathcal{L}(G)$ ,

380, since 
$$
n_{\ell(G)}(K_3) = 4
$$
,  $n_{\ell(G)}(C_5) = 2$  and  $n_{\ell(G)}(L(3, 1)) = 24$ ,  
\nif  $q = 4$ ,  $n_1$ ,  $n_2 \ge 2$ ,  
\n350, since  $n_{\ell(G)}(K_3) = 4$ ,  $n_{\ell(G)}(C_5) = 2$  and  $n_{\ell(G)}(L(3, 1)) = 21$ ,  
\nif  $q = 4$ ,  $n_1 = 1$ , or  $n_2 = 1$ ,  
\n320, since  $n_{\ell(G)}(K_3) = 4$ ,  $n_{\ell(G)}(C_5) = 2$  and  $n_{\ell(G)}(L(3, 1)) = 18$ ,  
\nif  $q = 4$ ,  $n_1 = n_2 = 1$ ,  
\n370, since  $n_{\ell(G)}(K_3) = 4$ ,  $n_{\ell(G)}(C_5) = 1$  and  $n_{\ell(G)}(L(3, 1)) = 24$ ,  
\nif  $q = 5$ ,  $n_1$ ,  $n_2 \ge 2$ ,  
\n340, since  $n_{\ell(G)}(K_3) = 4$ ,  $n_{\ell(G)}(C_5) = 1$  and  $n_{\ell(G)}(L(3, 1)) = 21$ ,  
\nif  $q = 5$ ,  $n_1 = 1$ , or  $n_2 = 1$ ,  
\n310, since  $n_{\ell(G)}(K_3) = 4$ ,  $n_{\ell(G)}(C_5) = 1$  and  $n_{\ell(G)}(L(3, 1)) = 18$ ,  
\nif  $q = 5$ ,  $n_1 = n_2 = 1$ ,  
\n360, since  $n_{\ell(G)}(K_3) = 4$ ,  $n_{\ell(G)}(C_5) = 0$  and  $n_{\ell(G)}(L(3, 1)) = 24$ ,  
\nif  $q \ge 6$ ,  $n_1$ ,  $n_2 \ge 2$ ,  
\n330, since  $n_{\ell(G)}(K_3) = 4$ , 

For graph  $\mathcal{L}(G'_{1,1})$ ,

$$
N_{\mathcal{L}(G'_{1,1})}(5) = \begin{cases} 290, \text{ since } n_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(K_3) = 4, & n_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(C_5) = 1 \text{ and } n_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(L(3, 1)) = 16, \\ \text{if } d(y, z), d(y, x) \ge 2, \\ 280, \text{ since } n_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(K_3) = 4, & n_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(C_5) = 1 \text{ and } n_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(L(3, 1)) = 15, \\ \text{if } d(y, z) = 1, \text{ or } d(y, x) = 1, \\ 270, \text{ since } n_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(K_3) = 4, & n_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(C_5) = 1 \text{ and } n_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(L(3, 1)) = 14, \\ \text{if } d(y, z) = d(y, x) = 1. \end{cases}
$$

Clearly,  $N_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(5) \neq N_{\mathcal{L}(G'_{1,1})}(5)$ , a contradiction to (v) of [Lemma 2.2.](#page-1-4)

*Case* 4.2.1.2. For graph  $G'_{1,2}$ , use the same method as in Case 4.2.1.1, we have

$$
N_{\mathcal{L}(G'_{1,2})}(5) = \begin{cases} 290, \text{ since } n_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(K_3) = 4, & n_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(C_5) = 1 \text{ and } n_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(L(3, 1)) = 16, \\ \text{if } d(u, v), d(y, z) \ge 2, \\ 280, \text{ since } n_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(K_3) = 4, & n_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(C_5) = 1 \text{ and } n_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(L(3, 1)) = 15, \\ \text{if } d(u, v) = 1, \text{ or } d(y, z) = 1, \\ 270, \text{ since } n_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(K_3) = 4, & n_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(C_5) = 1 \text{ and } n_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(L(3, 1)) = 14, \\ \text{if } d(u, v) = d(y, z) = 1. \end{cases}
$$

Clearly,  $N_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(5) \neq N_{\mathcal{L}(G'_{1,2})}(5)$ , a contradiction to (v) of [Lemma 2.2.](#page-1-4)

*Case* 4.2.2. For graph  $G_2'$ , [Lemma 5.9](#page-10-3) implies that graph  $H(2a + 4; a + 3, \frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2} + 1)$  is *Q*-cospectral with graph  $G_2'$  with  $d(z, y) = \frac{a}{2}$ ,  $d(u, v) = \frac{\tilde{a}}{2}$  and  $d(w, x) = a + 1$ , where *a* is a positive even number.

*Case* 4.2.3. For graph  $G'_3$ , if  $d(y, z) \geq 2$ ,  $d(w, y) \geq 2$  and  $q' \geq 5$ , then there always exists an induced subgraph isomorphic to the disjoint union of a cycle  $C_6$  and a Smith graph  $S_1$  in  $\mathcal{S}(G_3)$ , a contradiction to  $\lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(G)) = \lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(G_3)) < 2$ .

If  $d(y, z) = 1$ ,  $d(x, y) \ge 1$  and  $q' \ge 7$ , then there always exists an induced subgraph isomorphic to the disjoint union of two Smith graphs  $S_2$  and  $\overline{S_3}$  in  $\mathcal{S}(G'_3)$ , a contradiction to  $\lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(G)) = \lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(G'_3)) < 2$ .

<span id="page-17-0"></span>

**Fig. 12.** Graphs  $G'_{3,1}$ ,  $G'_{3,2}$  and  $G'_{3,3}$ .

If  $d(y, z) = 1$ ,  $d(x, y) \ge 2$  and  $q' \ge 6$ , then there always exists an induced subgraph isomorphic to the disjoint union of two Smith graphs  $S_2$  in  $\mathcal{S}(G'_3)$ , a contradiction to  $\lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(G)) = \lambda_2(\mathcal{S}(G'_3)) < 2$ .

Then the three possible forms of  $G_3'$  are shown in [Fig. 12.](#page-17-0)

*Case* 4.2.3.1. For graph  $G'_{3,1}$ , [Lemma 5.3](#page-8-4) implies that line graphs  $L(G)$  and  $L(G'_{3,1})$  are *A*-cospectral. By [Lemma 2.3,](#page-2-7) we have  $\sum_i \lambda_i (\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,1}))^4 = \sum_i \lambda_i (\mathcal{L}(G))^4$ . For graph  $\mathcal{L}(G)$ ,

$$
\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}(\mathcal{L}(G))^{4} = \begin{cases} 6n + 110, & \text{if } q = 4, n_{1}, n_{2} \geq 2, \\ 6n + 102, & \text{if } q = 4, n_{1} = 1, \text{ or } n_{2} = 1, \\ 6n + 94, & \text{if } q = 4, n_{1} = n_{2} = 1, \\ 6n + 102, & \text{if } q \geq 5, n_{1}, n_{2} \geq 2, \\ 6n + 94, & \text{if } q \geq 5, n_{1} = 1, \text{ or } n_{2} = 1, \\ 6n + 86, & \text{if } q \geq 5, n_{1} = n_{2} = 1. \end{cases}
$$

By computing  $\sum_i \lambda_i (\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,1}))^4$ , we get

$$
\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} (\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,1}))^{4} = \begin{cases} 6n + 98, & \text{if } d(u, v) \ge 2, d(x, y) \ge 2, \\ 6n + 102, & \text{if } d(u, v) = 1, d(x, y) \ge 2, \\ 6n + 94, & \text{if } d(u, v) \ge 2, d(x, y) = 1, \\ 6n + 98, & \text{if } d(u, v) = 1, d(x, y) = 1. \end{cases}
$$

Then consider the following equality cases:

*Case* 4.2.3.1.1.  $\under{6n + 102}$ : *H*(*n*; 4, *n*<sub>1</sub>, *n*<sub>2</sub>) with *n*<sub>1</sub> = 1, or *n*<sub>2</sub> = 1 and *G*<sub>3,1</sub> with *d*(*u*, *v*) = 1 and *d*(*x*, *y*) ≥ 2. By [Lemma 2.4,](#page-2-6)  $N_{\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,1})}(5) = \overline{330 \neq N_{\mathcal{L}(H(n;4,n_1,n_2))}}(5) = 350$ , a contradiction to (v) of [Lemma 2.2.](#page-1-4)

Case 4.2.3.1.2.  $\underline{6n + 102}$ :  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  with  $q \ge 5$  and  $n_1, n_2 \ge 2$  and  $G'_{3,1}$  with  $d(u, v) = 1$  and  $d(x, y) \ge 2$ . Now,  $N_{\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,1})}(5) = 330 \neq N_{\mathcal{L}(H(n;5,n_1,n_2))}(5) = 370$ , and

$$
N_{\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,1})}(5) = 330 \neq N_{\mathcal{L}(H(n;q,n_1,n_2))}(5) = 360, \text{ with } q \geq 6,
$$

contradictions to (v) of [Lemma 2.2.](#page-1-4)

*Case* 4.2.3.1.3.  $\under{6n + 94}$ : *H*(*n*; 4, *n*<sub>1</sub>, *n*<sub>2</sub>) with  $n_1 = n_2 = 1$  and  $G'_{3,1}$  with  $d(u, v) \ge 2$  and  $d(x, y) = 1$ . Now, the number of vertices of  $H(n; 4, n_1, n_2)$  with  $n_1 = n_2 = 1$  is 6, but there are at least 9 vertices in  $G'_{3,1}$  with  $d(u, v) \ge 2$  and  $d(x, y) = 1$ , a contradiction.

Case 4.2.3.1.4.  $\underline{6n + 94}$ :  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  with  $q \ge 5$  and  $n_1 = 1$ , or  $n_2 = 1$  and  $G'_{3,1}$  with  $d(u, v) \ge 2$  and  $d(x, y) = 1$ . By [Lemma 2.4,](#page-2-6)  $N_{\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,1})}(5) = 320 \neq N_{\mathcal{L}(H(n;5,n_1,n_2))}(5) = 340$ , and

$$
N_{\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,1})}(5) = 320 \neq N_{\mathcal{L}(H(n;q,n_1,n_2))}(5) = 330, \text{ with } q \geq 6,
$$

contradictions to (v) of [Lemma 2.2.](#page-1-4)

*Case* 4.2.3.2. For graph  $G'_{3,2}$ , [Lemma 5.3](#page-8-4) implies that line graphs  $L(G)$  and  $L(G'_{3,2})$  are *A*-cospectral. Similarly to Case 4.2.3.1, we compute  $\sum_i \lambda_i (\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,2}))^4$ . Then

$$
\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}(\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,2}))^{4} = \begin{cases} 6n + 90, & \text{if } d(u, v) \ge 2, d(x, y) \ge 2, \\ 6n + 94, & \text{if } d(u, v) = 1, d(x, y) \ge 2, \\ 6n + 86, & \text{if } d(u, v) \ge 2, d(x, y) = 1, \\ 6n + 90, & \text{if } d(u, v) = 1, d(x, y) = 1. \end{cases}
$$

Then consider the following equality cases:

*Case* 4.2.3.2.1.  $\under{6n + 94}$ : *H*(*n*; 4, *n*<sub>1</sub>, *n*<sub>2</sub>) with  $n_1 = n_2 = 1$  and  $G'_{3,2}$  with  $d(u, v) = 1$  and  $d(x, y) \ge 2$ . Now, the number of vertices of  $H(n; 4, n_1, n_2)$  with  $n_1 = n_2 = 1$  is 6, but there are at least 10 vertices in  $G'_{3,2}$  with  $d(u, v) = 1$  and  $d(x, y) \ge 2$ , a contradiction.

Case 4.2.3.2.2.  $6n + 94$ :  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  with  $q \ge 5$  and  $n_1 = 1$  or  $n_2 = 1$  and  $G'_{3,2}$  with  $d(u, v) = 1$ ,  $d(x, y) \ge 2$ . By [Lemma 2.4,](#page-2-6)  $N_{\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,2})}(5) = 310 \neq N_{\mathcal{L}(H(n;5,n_1,n_2))}(5) = 340$ , and

$$
N_{\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,2})}(5) = 310 \neq N_{\mathcal{L}(H(n;q,n_1,n_2))}(5) = 330, \text{ with } q \geq 6,
$$

contradictions to (v) of [Lemma 2.2.](#page-1-4)

Case 4.2.3.2.3.  $\underline{6n + 86}$ :  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  with  $q \ge 5$  and  $n_1 = n_2 = 1$  and  $G'_{3,2}$  with  $d(u, v) \ge 2$ ,  $d(x, y) = 1$ . [Lemma 2.4](#page-2-6)  $\text{implies that } N_{\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,2})}(5) = 300 \neq N_{\mathcal{L}(H(n;5,n_1,n_2))}(5) = 310$ , a contradiction to (v) of [Lemma 2.2.](#page-1-4)

For  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  with  $q \ge 6$  and  $n_1 = n_2 = 1$ , we use [Lemma 2.4](#page-2-6) to compute the number of closed walks of length 7 in  $\mathcal{L}(H(n; q, n_1, n_2))$ , then

$$
N_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(7) = \begin{cases} 3248, & \text{if } q = 6, \\ 3234, & \text{if } q = 7, \\ 3220, & \text{if } q \ge 8. \end{cases}
$$

And the number of closed walks of length 7 in  $\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,2})$  is  $N_{\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,2})}(7) = 3234$ . Clearly, the unique equality case is graphs  $H(n; 7, n_1, n_2)$  with  $n_1 = n_2 = 1$  and  $G'_{3,2}$  with  $d(u, v) \ge 2$  and  $d(x, y) = 1$ . Now, the number of vertices of  $H(n; 7, n_1, n_2)$ with  $n_1 = n_2 = 1$  is 9, but there are at least 10 vertices of  $G'_{3,2}$  with  $d(u, v) \ge 2$  and  $d(x, y) = 1$ , a contradiction.

*Case* 4.2.3.3. For graph  $G'_{3,3}$ , [Lemma 5.3](#page-8-4) implies that line graphs  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,3})$  are *A*-cospectral. Then by [Lemma 2.4,](#page-2-6) we have  $N_{\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,3})}(5) = 290$ , since  $n_{\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,3})}(K_3) = 4$ ,  $n_{\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,3})}(C_5) = 1$  and  $n_{\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,3})}(L(3, 1)) = 16$ . Clearly,  $N_{\mathcal{L}(G'_{3,3})}(5) \neq N_{\mathcal{L}(G)}(5)$ , a contradiction to (v) of [Lemma 2.2.](#page-1-4)

*Case* 4.2.4. For graph  $G'_4$ , [Lemma 5.12](#page-13-1) implies that graph  $H(2b; b, \frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2})$  is Q-cospectral with graph  $G'_4$ , with  $q' = b$ ,  $d(u, v) = \frac{b}{2} - 1$  and  $d(x, y) = \frac{b}{2} - 1$ , where  $b \ge 4$  is an even number.

*Case* 5.  $x'_4 = 1$ ,  $x'_0 = x'_3 = \cdots = x'_\Delta = 0$ . By [\(5.20\)](#page-14-2) and [\(5.21\),](#page-14-3) we have  $x'_1 = 2$ ,  $x'_2 = n - 3$ . Then deg(*G'*) = (4, 2<sup>*n*-3</sup>, 1<sup>2</sup>). By [Lemma 5.14,](#page-13-2) *G'* contains no cycles as its components. Then *G'* is the form of either  $\varGamma_2$  or *G*.

*Case* 5.1. *G'* is the form of  $\Gamma_2$ , consider their subdivision graphs  $\delta(H(n; q, n_1, n_2))$  and  $\delta(\Gamma_2)$ . [Lemma 5.4](#page-8-0) implies that  $S(H(n; q, n_1, n_2))$  and  $S(\Gamma_2)$  are *A*-cospectral. But by [Lemma 5.2,](#page-7-7)  $S(H(n; q, n_1, n_2))$  and  $S(\Gamma_2)$  cannot be *A*-cospectral, a contradiction.

*Case* 5.2. *G* is the form of *G*. Suppose that  $G' = H(n; q', n'_1, n'_2)$ . [Lemma 5.4](#page-8-0) implies that  $\mathcal{S}(H(n; q, n_1, n_2))$  and  $\mathcal{S}(H(n; q', n_1', n_2'))$  are A-cospectral. By [Lemma 5.5,](#page-8-5)  $\mathcal{S}(H(n; q, n_1, n_2))$  and  $\mathcal{S}(H(n; q', n_1', n_2'))$  are isomorphic.

Therefore,  $H(n; q, n_1, n_2)$  and  $G'$  are isomorphic, except for graphs  $H(2a+4; a+3, \frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}+1)$  with *a* being a positive even number and *H*(2*b*; *b*,  $\frac{b}{2}$ ,  $\frac{b}{2}$ ) with *b*  $\geq$  4 being an even number. This completes the proof of [Theorem 5.17.](#page-14-6)  $\Box$ 

#### **Acknowledgments**

The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referees for their careful reading and observations which led to this improved version.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-18-0"></span>[1] N.L. Biggs, Algebraic Graph Theory, second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>[2] R. Boulet, B. Jouve, The lollipop graph is determined by its spectrum, Electron. J. Combin. 15 (2008) R74.
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>[3] R.A. Brualdi, H.J. Ryser, Combinatorial Matrix Theory, Cambridge U. P., New York, 1991.
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>[4] D.M. Cvetković, New theorems for signless Laplacians eigenvalues, Bull. Acad. Serbe Sci. Arts, Cl. Sci. Math. Natur., Sci. Math. 137 (33) (2008) 131–146.
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>[5] D.M. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, Spectral of Graphs Theory and Applications, Academic press, New York, San Francisco, London, 1980.
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>[6] D.M. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, Spectra of unicyclic graph, Graphs Combin. 3 (1987) 7–23.
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>[7] D.M. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, S.K. Simić, Signless Laplacians of finite graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 423 (2007) 155–171.
- <span id="page-18-15"></span>[8] D.M. Cvetković, S.K. Simić, Towards a spectral theory of graphs based on signless Laplacian, I, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 85 (99) (2009) 1–15.
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>[9] F.R. Gantmacher, The Theory of Matrices, vol. II, Chelsea, New York, 1959.
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>[10] C.D. Godsil, B.D. McKay, Constructing cospectral graphs, Aequationes Math. 25 (1982) 257–268.
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>[11] W.H. Haemers, X.-G. Liu, Y.-P. Zhang, Spectral characterizations of lollipop graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 428 (2008) 2415–2423.
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>[12] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1985.
- <span id="page-18-13"></span>[13] A.K. Kelmans, The number of trees in a graph I, II, Autom. Remote Control 26 (1965) 2118–2129. 27 (1966) 233–241, translated from Avtomatika i Telemekhanika 26 (1965) 2194–2204 and 27 (1966) 56–65 [in Russian].
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>[14] A.K. Kelmans, V.M. Chelnokov, A certain polynomial of a graph and graphs with an extremal number of trees, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 16 (1974) 197–214.
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>[15] J.-S. Li, Y.-L. Pan, A note on the second largest eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix of a graph, Linear Multilinear Algebra 48 (20) (2000) 117–121.
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>[16] X.-G. Liu, Y.-P. Zhang, X.-Q. Gui, The multi-fan graphs are determined by their Laplacian spectra, Discrete Math. 308 (2008) 4267–4271.
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>[17] J.-S. Li, X.-D. Zhang, On the Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph, Linear Algebra Appl. 285 (1998) 305-307.
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>[18] C.S. Oliveira, N.M.M. de Abreu, S. Jurkiewilz, The characteristic polynomial of the Laplacian of graphs in (*a*, *b*)-linear cases, Linear Algebra Appl. 365 (2002) 113–121.
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>[19] G.R. Omidi, On a signless Laplacian spectral characterizaiton of *T* -shape trees, Linear Algebra Appl. 431 (2009) 1607–1615.
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>[20] G.R. Omidi, K. Tajbakhsh, Starlike trees are determined by their Laplacian spectrum, Linear Algebra Appl. 422 (2007) 654–658.
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>[21] S.K. Simić, Z. Stanić, *Q*-integral graphs with edge-degrees at most five, Discrete Math. 308 (2008) 4625–4634.
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>[22] J.H. Smith, Some properties of spectrum of graphs, in: R. Guy, H. Hanani, N. Sauer, J. Schönheim (Eds.), Combinatorial Structures and their Applications, Gordon and Breach, Science Publ., Inc., New York, London, Paris, 1970, pp. 403–406.
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>[23] E.R. van Dam, W.H. Haemers, Which graphs are determined by their spectrum? Linear Algebra Appl. 373 (2003) 241–272.
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>[24] E.R. van Dam, W.H. Haemers, Developments on spectral characterizations of graphs, Discrete Math. 309 (2009) 576–586.
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>[25] J.-F. Wang, Q.-X. Huang, F. Belardo, E.M. Li Marzi, On the spectral characterizations of ∞-graphs, Discrete Math. 310 (2010) 1845–1855.
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>[26] W. Wang, C.-X. Xu, Note: the T-shape tree is determined by its Laplacian spectrum, Linear Algebra Appl. 419 (2006) 78–81.